

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121800
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovative Approaches to Sustainable Greenhouse Pest Management: Integrating Advanced Diagnostics and Preventive Strategies for Optimal Crop Health
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Greenhouse agriculture makes a significant contribution to food production and the economy. But the greenhouse environment is also more conducive to the reproduction of pests, so greenhouse pest management is very important. However, the current measures to prevent and control greenhouse pests are not perfect and need to be combined with new technologies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. The article covers not only innovative approaches to greenhouse pest management, but also traditional diagnostic and preventive measures. These should all be reflected in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author's understanding of the research content can be seen from the description of the characteristics, identification and management measures of greenhouse pests. Most of the content involved in the article is supported by references. No unscientific content was found.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	No. The references are too old, it is recommended to refer to the latest literature, and some of the quotes are not inserted in the references.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		

ReviewForm3

Optional/Generalcomments

1. The references are too old, it is recommended to refer to the latest literature, and some of the quotes are not inserted in the references.
2. Please clarify the definition of pests, and whether the viruses and bacteria mentioned in the paper are pests.
3. It is recommended to introduce the common pests in greenhouses more specifically, such as the incidence of common pest types in greenhouses, in order to clarify which pests have the highest risk of occurring; and what is the difference between common pest types in greenhouses and those common to non-greenhouse cultivation.
4. please be careful to keep the language academic and do not include too much emotive language, e.g. "The greenhouse whitefly is a very bothersome insect."
5. There are problems with the structural order of the essay.
6. it is recommended that the strengths and weaknesses of various approaches to integrated pest management be analysed.
7. it is recommended that innovative approaches to sustainable greenhouse pest management be highlighted and further analysed.

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Li Hua
Department, University & Country	School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, China