

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125522
Title of the Manuscript:	Abundance and diversity of soil arthropods in major plantation crops under different farming practices
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript on the <b>abundance and diversity of soil arthropods</b> in major plantation crops under various farming practices is highly valuable to the scientific community as it highlights the impact of agricultural methods on biodiversity. Soil arthropods play a crucial role in ecosystem functions such as nutrient cycling, soil health, and pest control. By comparing different farming practices, this study provides insights into sustainable agriculture and biodiversity conservation. I appreciate the manuscript for its relevance to ecological sustainability and for promoting farming techniques that enhance soil health and arthropod diversity.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound because it is based on a well-defined methodology for assessing soil arthropod abundance and diversity across different farming practices. By utilizing established sampling techniques such as pitfall traps and soil cores, the research ensures accurate and reliable data collection. Additionally, the comparison between different farming systems (e.g., organic vs. conventional) provides valuable insights into how agricultural practices influence soil biodiversity. The study's focus on both abundance and diversity adds depth, making it highly relevant for understanding the ecological impacts of farming methods on soil health.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Add Recent year and scientific data if available</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V. Abarna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Agriculture, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, India</b>