

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_125933
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Education for Promoting Ready-to-Eat Ragi-Based Supplementary Foods: Impacts on Dietary Behavior and Local Food System Integration.
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review article is vital for the scientific community as it tackles nutrition and food security by promoting traditional foods like ragi. It emphasizes the importance of nutritional education in driving behavioural changes that improve dietary choices, supporting both individual health and local economic resilience.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the manuscript was appropriate and suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is thorough and well-articulated, and information conveying the main aspects of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review article showcases scientific robustness through its comprehensive analysis of existing literature and evidence-based practices. By synthesizing research on nutritional education and the promotion of ragi-based supplementary foods, it demonstrates a deep understanding of dietary behavior and local food systems. Additionally, the inclusion of quantitative data to support the findings enhances its credibility and technical soundness. Overall, the manuscript effectively integrates theoretical insights with practical implications, making a valuable contribution to the fields of nutrition and food security.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. However, the red-marked references are missing in-text citations, and the green-marked ones are repeated please go through.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and quality of the article are good and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keywords needs to be arranged alphabetically • In the manuscript, it is important to maintain consistency in the terminology used for visual elements. Currently, the authors refer both pictures and images as "Picture 1-10" and "Image 1-4," which can create confusion for readers. To enhance clarity, it is advisable to standardize by labeling all visual elements as "Figure 1," "Figure 2," "Figure 3," and so on. • Whenever pictures and images are included, the titles should be placed below each visual. Please ensure this format is followed consistently throughout the manuscript. • In section 2.1.4 under "Ragi Malted Drinks," if the ragi malt is referred to as a ready-to-eat product, it would be more accurate to classify it as either RTS (ready-to-serve) or ready-to-drink beverages. This clarification helps in correctly categorizing the product for consumers. • In section 2.2, if the figure resembles a flowchart, since it only highlights health benefits, it should be revised to better reflect the broader context of nutritional and health benefits. A flowchart typically outlines processes or connections, so change it. • The conclusion is currently too lengthy and should be more concise. I recommend summarizing the key benefits of ragi-based foods in 2-3 impactful sentences to enhance clarity and effectiveness. This will ensure the main points are communicated without unnecessary repetition. • To improve the manuscript, incorporating statistical data on ragi production, processing, consumption, and availability would be advantageous. Recent global production figures, especially from key producing countries, can offer important context. Furthermore, including information on processing methods and trends in per capita consumption will highlight ragi's dietary significance. • 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Usha Ravindra</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</p>