

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_126033
Title of the Manuscript:	Invitro Antiviral Activity of Eucheuma cottonii Against New Castle Disease Virus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is relevant as it dealt with the anti-viral activity study on marine algae. The work is research oriented and systematically planned one. The result is useful for the common man.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested Title <i>In vitro</i> studies of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> Against New Castle Disease Virus	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Methodology Part need not to be presented in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study on <i>E. cottonii</i> is a promising antiviral agent against NDV. Natural product is more acceptable now a days.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need additional reference for Discussion Session.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The research work is relevant.	

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devipriya D
Department, University & Country	Sree Narayana College for Women, India