

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_126559
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic analysis for yield, its components, and protein and oil contents in diallel crosses of soybean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This journal is a very dynamic for the published research paper of the all scientist and researchers.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Title of the article, as per research is well good and very informative research for the future.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Abstract:</b>            Dear Researcher my opinion should be required, need to modification of topic and added to which area or on place, was as per your research.            Dear authors are you written herein the abstract is good, but can you finding here uses of which design and which research platform does not mentioned here was conducted research trail. Should be finding there in uses of hypothetical tools and how their can you write there will benefit of future prospectus.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Introduction:</b>            The introduction paragraph is good written here but written to based on the current research scenario article should be mentioned as per objects &amp; finding hypothetical parameters, and finding about of genetic analysis for quantitative parameters its work which need to be moderate and strictly my suggestion add to past evidences (References). Into the introduction will be finding their future benefits of researchers.</p> <p><b>Material and Methods:</b>            As per your finding to Material and methods are good but need to add the formula of Seed protein (%) and oil contents (%) with briefly finding herein methodology; as per other hypothetical analysis tools.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussions:</b>            The researcher should be written there justified as per formatting of respective publication (AJRCS). Finding of Results parts or according to tabulation of hypothetical analysis there finding herein table, can you write up of results and discussion with find of justification. I have suggested to strictly will need to correction by researcher.</p> <p><b>Conclusion and Acknowledgement should be need to finding there &amp; future outlook will need to require moderate.</b></p> <p><b>References are to check and moderate as per your finding.</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound will very benefited of researchers.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>-</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to minor correction as per my suggestions.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>his research well good for future breeding purposed and will improved of new varieties.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Arvind Kumar</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>AISECT University, India</b></p>