

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_126032
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Disseminated lupus erythematosus - neurological manifestations in childhood: a case series of two patients</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Still now a number of physicians including paediatricians think that lupus is not found in children. So, this manuscript may improve the awareness of childhood lupus. Both the cases had multisystem involvement in addition to NP manifestations. So, this will try to enrich the reader of very early multisystem involvement in childhood lupus.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I have a little reservation on the title. This is a case report of only two patients. So, should we name it case series? Or only case reports of two childhood lupus? Of course. Editorial board will take the final decision.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive. Only one observation, <b>neurolupus is not very rare presentation as stated by the author</b> . In different series it is shown that quite a large number of children may have neurological symptoms as their initial presentation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, It is Okay. Few corrections needed: Observation 1: inspite of Case Presentation: Observation 1, Observation 2. Case 1 and Case 2 would look better. Observation 2: Table 1 is not necessary here. Observation 3: In the management plan: in spite of multisystem involvement including class IV nephritis, why the patient was treated with only steroids?	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NA ( As this is a case report series)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are quite old. In fact I didn't find any references after 2010. Please look for atleast few recent references. There are many references available.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need grammatic corrections	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shahana A Rahman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Bangladesh</b>