

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_126468</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MEASURING THE LEVEL OF KNOWLEDGE OF CONSUMERS ABOUT FOOD SAFETY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into consumer knowledge and perceptions regarding food safety. By highlighting that 76% of consumers are aware of food safety concepts, the study underscores the need for enhanced educational initiatives to improve public understanding and practices related to food safety. the research emphasizes the role of demographic factors in shaping consumer behavior, which can inform future studies and strategies aimed at different population segments. Overall, this manuscript has a big impact to the consumer /community.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Consider changing the title to make it sound scientific  "Assessing Consumer Awareness and Attitudes Towards Food Safety"</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the objective of the study and findings, but it could be enhanced for clarity and comprehensiveness. Here are some suggestions:            1) Please add a sentence about why understanding consumer knowledge is crucial. It could strengthen the introduction of the abstract.            2) Adding a sentence about the implications of the findings for public health or policy could enhance the abstract's impact. For example, discussing how increased consumer awareness can lead to better food safety practices would be beneficial.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript's subsections and overall structure are appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its comprehensive methodology and data analysis. The use of a face-to-face survey with 400 consumers ensures a representative sample, which enhances the reliability of the findings regarding consumer knowledge of food safety.</li> <li>- The manuscript addresses critical aspects of food safety, including consumer perceptions and the effectiveness of food inspections, which are essential for understanding the broader implications of food safety in Turkey</li> <li>- Overall, the combination of a well-structured questionnaire and robust sampling methods contributed to a comprehensive measurement of consumer knowledge regarding food safety.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :-</p>	<p>The references provided are sufficient and up-to-date</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language should be proofread to improve clarity and specificity	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Technical part:  Please revise the sentences in paragraph 4 of the introduction section. A maximum of four citations per sentence is sufficient to reference previous studies. The explanation should simplify the findings from previous research rather than only mentioning what the study is about.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Radhiahtul Raehan Mustafa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic Civilisation Academy, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia</b>