

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_126468</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MEASURING THE LEVEL OF KNOWLEDGE OF CONSUMERS ABOUT FOOD SAFETY</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The manuscript on consumer perception of food safety is of real use in informing and educating consumers about ensuring and maintaining health. The purpose of such studies is to facilitate informed food choices, specific to individual characteristics.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes, it is</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The summary provides comprehensive information specific to the topic addressed</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, it is</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The manuscript is scientifically sound in its scope and objectives. These are up-to-date, reflect the level of knowledge worldwide supporting once again the importance of food safety education.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes, it is</b>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language quality is good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mariana Florica Bei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Oradea, Romania</b>