

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126331
Title of the Manuscript:	An overview on Women Empowerment: Challenges and prospects
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a comprehensive examination of women's empowerment, covering historical perspectives, challenges, and potential for progress. It holds significant value for the scientific community as it consolidates multiple facets of women's empowerment, making it a useful resource for researchers, policymakers, and educators seeking to understand or advance gender equality. I appreciate its detailed approach and global context, though the argument would benefit from additional data to support claims of effectiveness.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, " <i>An Overview on Women Empowerment: Challenges and Prospects</i> ," is informative but could be more specific. An alternative could be: " <i>Global Perspectives on Women's Empowerment: Challenges, Policies, and Case Studies</i> " to reflect the manuscript's global and policy-oriented scope.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is detailed, covering key areas of the manuscript, such as historical background, challenges, global trends, and maybe in future prospects. However, it could be enhanced by briefly mentioning the specific case studies included, as this would provide readers with insight into the empirical basis of the discussion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-organized with clear subsections, making it accessible for readers. However, certain sections could benefit from further division to improve readability, particularly within "Challenges" and "Prospects," where numerous sub-points are discussed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and well-cited, presenting a robust argument for a multi-dimensional approach to women's empowerment. It combines theoretical perspectives and real-world examples, which adds credibility. However, it could strengthen its argument by including more recent empirical data, particularly on the impact of empowerment programs.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant but it could be updated to include recent publications on empowerment, especially post-2015 studies on Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and their outcomes. Please add some references and studies from 2020 onwards so that it will enhance the relevance of this manuscript.	

Review Form 3

<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, though some phrases could be simplified for clarity.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a well-rounded exploration of women's empowerment, making it informative for readers across disciplines interested in gender equality and development. However, a few enhancements could strengthen its impact:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Depth in case studies. Author maybe can add more details or results from the case studies would improve the empirical grounding of the arguments and offer practical insights for replication. 2. Inclusion of recent data. Since I noticed that the manuscript provides a thorough review, incorporating recent data or studies, particularly post-2020, would make the discussion more current, especially regarding global policies and the SDGs. 3. Clarity and conciseness. Some sections, particularly those discussing challenges and prospects, would benefit from streamlined language to ensure clarity without compromising depth. 4. Graphical elements Consider to add charts or tables summarizing key challenges or policy recommendations. This could enhance readability and allow readers to quickly grasp critical information. <p>No ethical or competing interest issues were identified, and there is no indication of plagiarism. No competing interest issues were identified in this manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Siti Masayu Rosliah Abdul Rashid</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia</p>