

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Archives of Current Research International</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_ACRI_126223</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Introduction to Insect Pests of Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) and Their Management: A Review</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>                        | The content of the manuscript is need of the hour. However, it should be strengthened a lot. The MS was written in a very lighter sense. Irrespective of the crop, while dealing with review paper for insect pest management, host plant resistance should be addressed. Brinjal Varieties/hybrids resistant to different insect pests should also be included. There should be a minimum of one schematic picture to explain all the management in one sight.               |   |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>  | Yes, title is suitable. But it needs to be improved a lot.  |   |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>   | Abstract is written in a comprehensive manner. However, once the content improved according the abstract should also be improved.   |   |
| <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  | Subsection are appropriated and needs to be strengthened. For, BSFB alone host range as separate title given. What about others. Since, it is a review paper, uniformity should be maintained for all the pest dealt.   |   |
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | Since the MS is review it should have included with pictorial representation. For major insect pests, like brinjal fruit and shoot borer life cycle, damage symptom kind of pictures shoue be included. Recent work in the same line to be essentially included. With reference to the insecticide names, first letter should be in lower caps unless otherwise the word in the starting of the sentence. Ex. Imidacloprid. Between the sentences, it should be imidacloprid. |   |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>   | For a review paper, given references are not sufficient. Recent references should be included.  |   |

### Review Form 3

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Minor REVISION comments   |   |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? |   |  |
| Optional/General comments   | Insect pest life stages, damage symptom and severity scale kind of information should be given. |  |

### **PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | P. Indiragandhi                           |
| Department, University & Country | Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India |