

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125278
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Various Packaging Materials on Physicochemical Characteristics of Vacuum Fried Carrot Chips During Storage Period
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The information presented in the manuscript may be useful to both the scientific community and the vegetable processing industries. The findings can reduce the post-harvest losses in carrot by enhancing its potential for value addition. Vacuum frying is good technique for developing fried chips from different vegetable products. However, more scientific inputs can be added for selection of frying oil and use of other packaging materials for storage of fried chips. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be revised as suggested in the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. However, it needs to be made more precise and clearer. Some corrections are required as suggested in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, some corrections in subtitles, use of symbols, citing the references are required as suggested in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically correct but statistical justifications are lacking. Proper methods and procedures are followed in the research trials. Results are presented in appropriate manner with graphical presentation of data. Some values for L, a and b value for colour evaluation are required to be corrected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. Numberings are required for the references as it is cited with numbering only in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Use the proper symbols as per SI system.2. Mention the statistical design used in the experimental design in the Materials and Methods3. Provide the details for ANOVA and Regression analysis in the results section.4. Maintain the uniformity in using the notations for treatments.5. Cite the reference appropriately in the manuscript.6. Corrections are needed in the value of L, a and b value for colour evaluation.7. See the other comments in the manuscript. <p>There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript. There seems no ethical issues in this manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. R. Davara
Department, University & Country	College of Agril. Engg. and Technology, Junagadh Agril. University, India