

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125870
Title of the Manuscript:	Nitrogen use efficiency of Boro rice as affected by long term inorganic and organic fertilization
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very well written and all the parameters have been discussed nicely. The comparison of the data in percentage increase from the control value is remarkable. The manuscript has justified the importance of balanced fertilization which will be beneficial for the soil in long term.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is comprehensive. Suggestions- Describe the treatments properly and the results in the abstract should be written in proper order of the treatments	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Now a days it is very crucial to focus on sustainability and soil health on which this manuscript has emphasised. Nitrogen is a major and most mobile nutrient in soil whose efficient use in rice is required which has been investigated in this manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	More recent references can be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, the language is suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ipsita Pattanaik
Department, University & Country	Institute of agricultural sciences, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, India