

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_125952
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and molecular analysis of proteins associated with non-spinning disease in the silkworm <i>Bombyx mori</i> L induced by BmNPV and BmDNV
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Silkworm diseases are a major issue leading to reduced cocoon yield. This study focused on non-spinning disease in mulberry silkworms and employed molecular methods for detection. However, some corrections and suggestions were provided to enhance the manuscript..	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Duration of the study is highlighted in the abstract which is missing. Conclusion needs to be revised.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The section topic is adequate but requires revision as highlighted in the attached manuscript. Some more relevant points need to be mentioned in result section which is too short. Also, needs some clarity in the discussion section.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The author attempts to highlight the importance of non-spinning silkworm. Relevance of pesticide use and its impact on silkworm is quite informative.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Needs to check whether the cited articles in the reference section is relevant to the methodology followed.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The highlighted points in the attached manuscript should be carefully reviewed to ensure an error-free publication.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Sankarganesh E</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural University, India</b>