

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_125952
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and molecular analysis of proteins associated with non-spinning disease in the silkworm Bombyx mori L induced by BmNPV and BmDNV
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Molecular studies help in identify proteins and genes that contribute to disease resistance in silkworms which can be used to develop disease-resistant silkworm strains, reducing losses in sericulture industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is technically sound but there is more comprehension to make excellent. Add some tables of data if possible.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, needs more references in introduction section as well as in discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some grammatical mistakes are there kindly go through the manuscript once again.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Scientific names should be italicized in text. Journal name in references should be italicized Also add name of silkworm race.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iqra Rafiq
Department, University & Country	College of Temperate Sericulture, SKUAST-K, India