

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_126572
Title of the Manuscript:	The Antecedent of Gen-Z Entrepreneurial Intention with Attitudes Towards Entrepreneurship as a Mediating Factor
Type of the Article	Journal

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the factors influencing Generation Z's entrepreneurial intentions, particularly focusing on risk-taking propensity, need for achievement, and social media engagement. The relevance of these findings is significant for the academic community, as understanding the entrepreneurial drivers among younger generations is crucial for shaping policies, educational curricula, and support programs. The study's use of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to present statistically sound conclusions, particularly regarding the mediating role of attitudes toward entrepreneurship, is commendable. However, expanding on potential limitations and practical implications could further enhance the study's contribution.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " <i>The Antecedent of Gen-Z Entrepreneurial Intention with Attitudes Towards Entrepreneurship as a Mediating Factor</i> ", is clear but could be strengthened for impact. Consider a title like "Examining Gen-Z Entrepreneurial Intentions: The Impact of Risk, Achievement, and Social Media Engagement with Entrepreneurial Attitudes as a Mediator" to capture the broader appeal and significance of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract offers a generally comprehensive overview of the research variables, methodology, and findings. However, it could be further improved by briefly discussing the practical implications or applications of the findings, thereby highlighting the study's relevance for practitioners and educators in entrepreneurial fields. This would effectively capture the reader's attention by connecting theory to practice.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript adheres to a logical structure, with clear subsections detailing each aspect of the study. The layout progresses sequentially from the introduction, literature review, and methodology to the results, discussion, and conclusion. This organizational structure enhances readability and facilitates a comprehensive understanding of the research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript exhibits significant scientific rigor and technical precision, attributable to several strengths. Firstly, the application of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Partial Least Squares (PLS) is adept at analyzing complex variable interrelations, particularly with a sample of 150 Gen-Z entrepreneurs. Secondly, the hypotheses are well-supported by established theories such as the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), which fortifies the theoretical framework. Thirdly, the alignment of results with previous studies enhances the findings' reliability and validity. These factors collectively ensure that the research is anchored in robust methodological and theoretical practices.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references in the manuscript are largely adequate, encompassing a combination of recent and pertinent studies, particularly from 2018 to 2021, which offer a contemporary context. However, the inclusion of more recent studies on Gen-Z entrepreneurial behavior or the influence of social media on entrepreneurship from 2022 or 2023 could further augment the manuscript's relevance. For instance, incorporating research on recent changes in Gen-Z's digital behavior or updated analyses of SEM-PLS applications in the social sciences could prove beneficial.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The linguistic quality of this article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but could be further refined to enhance clarity and readability. Overall, the article employs appropriate academic language and maintains a formal tone. However, certain sections exhibit minor grammatical inconsistencies, complex phrasing, and redundant expressions that may marginally hinder comprehension. Addressing these minor issues would improve the flow of the text and ensure the content fully adheres to the standards for publication in an academic journal.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jofrey R. Campos
Department, University & Country	Bulacan State University, Philippines