

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_125588
Title of the Manuscript:	From Tubal Ligation to Inguinal Hernia Surprise: Unexpected Complication of Tubal Ligation - A Rare Case
Type of the Article	Case study

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As a rare finding involving the ovary and fallopian tube within the hernial sac is an unusual occurrence, especially following tubal ligation. Recognizing such cases and spreading awareness can easily ease the diagnostic procedures. Hence, the case would be good for publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES. The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can be improved by corrected grammer errors.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Highlight on the tubal ligation complications. Include on management from previously published similar articles. Anatomical explanation in Introduction would be necessary. Recent articles are being referred which shows keen work and interest in writing this manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Include the articles related to the similar case and highlight on how other authors managed the similar case.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical corrections must be done.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranjitha D
Department, University & Country	India