

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_126002
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Antimicrobial Susceptibility in some clinical isolates from Niger Delta University Teaching Hospital, Okolobiri, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the community in gathering data related the developing countries to define the unity of the antibiotic's resistance discipline worldwide	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The is title is not more specific suggested: (Patterns of antibiotic resistance in Niger Delta University Teaching Hospital, Okolobiri, Nigeria)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not totally comprehensive suggested: (in Aim (identification of resistance pattern in some clinical isolates from Niger Delta University Teaching Hospital, Okolobiri, Nigeria)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Insert the inclusion and exclusion criteria in the experimental design as a total criteria	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The key words are redundant and must be more expressive and informative to help the engine search about the article. <u>mistake in Table 1</u> : where the calculation of 14+20=34 not 43 so numbers in tables must be revised and more informative and in clarity form to make it in graph to be more clear <u>Mistake in Table 8</u> : the count of resistance bacteria for AUG antibiotic how to be 3 whole the total count of bacteria is 2 how to be 150 % It must not be exceeding 100% <u>Table 9</u> : Preferred not male some of the numbers with approximation and the others without <u>In Results and Discussion</u> : the author described that most bacteria are <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> whole th number of <i>klebsiella oxytoca</i> is the same of <i>E.coli</i> and also the sum of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> in total is less than the same of the same sample of male and female and the same happened with <i>E.coli</i> (numbers require to be revised). <u>In Results and Discussion</u> : The author spoked about the <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and neglected the <i>E.coli</i> where ethe most female sampled are from urine where <i>E.coli</i> is one of the most bacteria found it urine .	

Review Form 3

	Also the focus about that one of the reasons of the increase of the female isolated samples that related to kitchen where the high female samples are from stool and urine where normally E.coli is present so that when make the table of distribution about that this type bacteria if found in stool we must merge it with the gender is this stool is isolated from male or female to make the data more expressive	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References not sufficient totally	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English must be revised Example of mistakes A lengthier hospital stay, additional follow-up doctor visits, and a costly and risky treatment alternative are nearly always required in cases of antibiotic-resistant illness. suggest: Antibiotic-resistant sickness almost invariably necessitates a longer hospital stay, more follow-up visits to the doctor, and an expensive and riskier alternative to therapy.	
Optional/General comments	I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farouk Hassan Farouk Hassan
Department, University & Country	Aydin Adnan Menderes University, Egypt