

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125750
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenesis of pyometra: Treatment and diagnosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will be helpful to veterinary professionals and researchers including students.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and fulfil all the requirements. However, authors are requested to correct the abstract in decision of Ovariohysterectomy to suture removal and post-operative care. After decision was it done? method? post-operative care?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Introduction part should be concised. The authors are requested to remove the arrow mark in line number 3. The authors are also instructed to strictly adhere with the journal format and instructions to authors. References be checked and cross checked thoroughly in the text and in list, and mention the doi correctly if available. Also remove old references (References before last 10 years are considered as old.)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The presented manuscript finds scientifically and technically correct and robust because the manuscript is describing the case report of queen pyometra or a clinical report in a robust scientific language, the technique of USG guided diagnosis, surgical intervention and post-operative care. The discussion and conclusion part is also properly mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References be checked and cross checked thoroughly in the text and in list, and mention the doi correctly if available. Also remove old references (References before last 10 years are considered as old.)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the manuscript can be published after minor corrections  Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As mentioned in above rows.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhirenkumar Babubhai Bhoi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Veterinary College, Kamdhenu University, India</b>