

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_125885
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of antifungal activity of Psidium guajava (Guava) leaf extracts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript regarding antifungal properties of a medicinal plant was evaluated using solid medium regarding human pathology in fluid medium; so this work is good to be done in liquid medium like in the human body. Also, the testing concentration look too high to be considered; this work is not consistent; the author want to solve a problem on fungal resistance but doesn't use resistants strains or isolates	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not necessary to precise the testing laboratory; the concentration of the reference antifungal was not precised here; the keys are not appropriate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the paper is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The disk diffusion test is not appropriate, this work need to be done using microdilution technique; The tested concentration of the reference antifungal was not given; this could help us to understand why he think that it plants extract presented higher activities than the reference drug used;	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference issue is ok	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lacmata Tamekou Stephen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>