

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_125784
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Basalt fines to improve Acid Oxisols for Green Beans Production in the Cameroon Western Highlands.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>this manuscript is important to the scientific community. It provides important insights on how natural minerals can be used to increase agricultural productivity while lowering environmental impact by showcasing increases in soil fertility and green bean yields. I value the study's thorough experimental methodology and attention to ecological and economic factors, which offer a thorough assessment of the potential of basalt fines. To increase its applicability and impact, more focus should be placed on the long-term environmental advantages and real-world uses.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is quite descriptive and gets the main points across effectively. However, Here's a revised suggestion: "Enhancing Green Bean Yields on Acid Oxisols with Basalt Fines in the Cameroon Highlands"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Your abstract is quite comprehensive . However, here are some suggestions for improvement: Clarity and Flow: Some sentences could be rephrased for smoother reading. For instance, "The field experiment was conducted on a 60 m² plot in a completely randomized block design (CRBD)." might be more concise as "A field experiment using a completely randomized block design (CRBD) was conducted on a 60 m² plot." Practical Applications: Briefly mention the practical implications or potential future applications of the study. This could provide a stronger conclusion to the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, your manuscript's structure and subsections look adequate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript's thorough experimental design and methodical approach make it both technically sound and scientifically sound. To guarantee the validity of their findings, the researchers employed a randomized block design and comprehensive laboratory analyses. The study offers a transparent and reproducible methodology by integrating thorough assessments of soil fertility indicators and green bean yields. The research is both academically important and pertinent to agricultural practices because the economic analysis also adds a practical dimension.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>It is beneficial to include some more recent studies or reviews to ensure the manuscript remains up-to-date with the latest research trends.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript is insightful and brings valuable contributions to the field of sustainable agriculture. Further minor refinements will enhance its clarity and impact.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muna Shueai Abdullah Yahya
Department, University & Country	University of Hodeidah, Yemen