

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126304
Title of the Manuscript:	Tomato Sorting Machine Design
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This design is based on the existing fruit sorting mechanism, and the sorting mechanism has been improved by using a spiral roller to design a tomato sorting machine. Based on the size of tomatoes, this tomato sorter can classify tomatoes into three categories to meet the needs of different occasions for different sizes of tomatoes, improve the utilization rate of tomatoes, and also speed up the rate of tomato sorting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add the points regarding its productivity and precision of the machine in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author has not mentioned the production rate and accuracy. • Need to put experimental data to justify the product. • Cost analysis of the product. • Compare the product with the available products in the market. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need some more literature needed regarding the design.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to check for grammatical errors,	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Author has not mentioned the production rate and accuracy.• Need to put experimental data to justify the product.• Cost analysis of the product.• Compare the product with the available products in the market.• Put the fabricated picture of the machine.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushanth H Gowda
Department, University & Country	Visvesvaraya Technological University, India