

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126304
Title of the Manuscript:	Tomato Sorting Machine Design
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Low cost Tomato sorting machine is one of the essential machine for low income farmer. Machine can be use multipurpose and expected to handle various spherical fruits. But research has insufficient detail of technical aspect of the machine such as productivity, operation procedure and machine specification.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is. Title of the article is suitable as per its description.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the article is not sufficient. It will be more scientific if productivity of machine, over all size and weight is mention in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Manuscripts subsections are not sufficient. It will be nice to keep working procedure of the machine and productivity of machine.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents low cost design of tomato sorting machine with using standard materials and stated mechanism. However, scientific point of view, manuscript lack of productivity of the machine and other technical details such as helix angle, angle of repose of inlet and outlet etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes reference in the manuscript seems to be sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Prasad Shrestha
Department, University & Country	Kathmandu University, Nepal