

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126398
Title of the Manuscript:	Calcium silicate application as a salt stress mitigation strategy for vegetables in the salt-affected soils of sandy plains of Kerala, India
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title "Calcium silicate application as a salt stress mitigation strategy for vegetables in the salt-affected soils of sandy plains of Kerala, India" is precisely what makes me dislike this manuscript. Here, just one test crop used is the tomato. I think you should use more than one vegetable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No, despite being a review article, the paper cannot be considered scientifically sound because it lacks several crucial data and tables. Evidence from science can only be supplied via the graph and figure.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, too old	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Although the document's title makes sense, numerous grammatical errors and references are not largely accurate. Furthermore, the article is unjustified because it lacks pertinent facts, graphs, necessitating further verification.</p> <p>Salt stress is a current issue that is not sufficiently covered in this work; rather, it is addressed directly in the experiments and results. The title of the manuscript does not justify the article because it only focuses on tomatoes and ignores other vegetables.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nishtha Srivastava</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Invertis University, India</b>