

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126276
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Different Nitrogen Concentrations on Growth, Yield, and Yield Attributes of Hydroponically Grown Cherry Tomato"</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study investigates the effect of varying nitrogen concentrations on growth parameters and yield of cherry tomato under hydroponic conditions. The study offers valuable insights into optimal nitrogen levels for hydroponically grown cherry tomatoes, which is significant for improving crop yield and resource efficiency in greenhouse conditions. This research addresses a critical gap in nutrient management for cherry tomatoes, which can benefit both scientific and practical horticultural communities  <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	Done revision
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the study is appropriate	OK
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive. However, it could benefit from specifying the yield and yield attributes values to enhance clarity.	Corrected
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript is well-structured, with appropriate subsections	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is scientifically sound, with appropriate experimental design, well-defined methodology, and statistical analysis of results.  In results sections, better to include on par results of 10 mmol/L and 8 mmol/L nitrogen concentrations on yield of cherry tomato	Done
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References cited are recent and sufficient. However, some of the references are missing	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is clear and understandable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Including details on the environmental conditions within the greenhouse such as temperature, humidity, etc. may enhance paper quality.  The tables and figures representing same data is not required	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sneha M. A.</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, India</b>