

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126108
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Nitrogen Concentration on Growth, Yield and Phytochemical and Aromatic Compounds of Persicaria Minor Cultivated using Hydroponics System
Type of the Article	Experimental

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript makes a valuable contribution to the scientific community. However, more information could be added to the methodology on e.g. SPAD measurement, also if compared to another method to make the data more reliable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is [state if it's clear, accurate, or precise] in summarizing the core focus of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear and comprehensive, effectively summarizing the study's purpose, methods, and findings. It includes all essential elements, providing a strong overview for readers without requiring additional information.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The item assessing crop yield is missing.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust, as evidenced by its detailed and well-structured methodology, which thoroughly outlines each experimental step, ensuring reproducibility and transparency. The statistical analysis applied is rigorous and appropriate for the dataset, effectively supporting the study's findings and conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references included in the manuscript are generally sufficient and up-to-date, covering the essential aspects of the study. However, I recommend increasing the citation frequency of existing references throughout the manuscript, as there are sections in the text that would benefit from additional citations to reinforce key statements. This would strengthen the manuscript's credibility and ensure that all claims are well-supported by relevant literature.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ingrid Maldonado Jimenez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de Moquegua, Peru</b>