

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_124102
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and factors influencing the circulation of pulmonary tuberculosis in patients followed at the National Tuberculosis Control Program in N'Djamena, Chad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Prevalence and factors influencing pulmonary tuberculosis infection will help in formulating a good objective in order to eliminate pulmonary tuberculosis, preventing its relapse, failure and defaulter cases and also prevent the conversion of its susceptible form to MDR & XDR tuberculosis. At the same time it will also help in proper treatment regimen.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Prevalence and factors influencing the transmission of pulmonary tuberculosis in patients followed at National Tuberculosis Control Program in N'Djamena, Chad	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Minor point of corrections and grammatical error needs to be dealt with.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Corrections are suggested for Table no. 2	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In Methodology section some points need to be considered like: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sample rejection criteria should be included.</li> <li>• At least 2 sputum samples should have been taken in order to have better sensitivity.</li> <li>• In order to obtain true prevalence, author should have considered Ziehl Neilson staining also.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Its sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its appropriate with minor corrections.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Randhir Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>