

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_124102
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and factors influencing the circulation of pulmonary tuberculosis in patients followed at the National Tuberculosis Control Program in N'Djamena, Chad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript deals with an aspect which is important for the scientific community. Tuberculosis is a major public health concern. Hence the studies on prevalence, the influence of social and environmental factors is important in prevention and for developing targeted interventions. But the study could have been designed to cover broader aspects. All the findings of the study are already known facts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is somewhat suitable but can be modified. The term circulation is a vague term and can be modified as "transmission" or "spread"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. But needs extensive revision due to grammatical errors. Most sentences are constructed awkwardly. For example, "Total of 453 patients were enrolled. Among them, 105 patients detected positive for Mycobacterium tuberculosis by GeneXpert, either prevalence of 23.18%." Such grammatical errors need to be revised. And many fragmented sentences are present.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, Subsections are not properly structured and are not in proper order to ensure a good flow for the manuscript. Many headings need revision. The headings like "clinical variables of the study", "the biological variables of the study" needs to be more specific. Tables also need extensive revision and table headings and subheadings are not clear. Many punctuation marks in the whole manuscript is misplaced or missing.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Many critical variables are not explored in the study. For example, health care access, malnutrition, other comorbidities of study population are not addressed. The study was conducted over a very short period which also negatively affects the scientific correctness of it. The major language errors and wrong sentence structures affects the clarity of the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent but referencing style needs to be modified and uniform as per the journal protocol which is not done.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, The language is not at all suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Needs extensive modification of the whole manuscript	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Febi Ann Roy
Department, University & Country	Sikkim Manipal Institute of Medical Sciences, India