

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_126078
Title of the Manuscript:	“IMPACT OF FERTILITY LEVELS AND BIOFERTILIZERS ON CHICKPEA YIELD, SOIL FERTILITY AND ECONOMIC RETURNS”
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A wonderful study on chickpea (the king of pulses) which serves as a great source of protein which in turn would greatly be beneficial for eradicating malnutrition. Also bio-fertilizers are safe and pocket-friendly for farmers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study on chick peas is very apt and needs further extension. And when there is a great demand for non-chemical additives in all scenarios, bio-fertilisers come as a perfect panacea.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The study is quite interesting. Yet there are a few points which could have gone a long way in enhancing the gravity of the paper. 1) Harvest index could have been calculated 2) Also, in such similar studies in future, comparison could be made in separate trials with varying dates of sowing.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kangjam Sonamani Singh
Department, University & Country	ICAR, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India