

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_126380
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Vaccination Strategies on Reducing the Prevalence of Cholera- and Rotavirus-Induced Diarrhoea in Children in Bangladesh, India and Pakistan.
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community, especially in the context of public health strategies for combating childhood diarrhea in high-burden countries like Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan. By systematically reviewing and synthesizing qualitative studies on rotavirus and cholera vaccination, it highlights critical barriers and opportunities related to vaccine uptake in these settings, where misinformation, limited resources, and cultural barriers persist.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article could be more precise to reflect its focus on both the challenges and strategies for increasing rotavirus and cholera vaccination uptake in specific countries. An alternative title that better captures this focus could be: "Barriers and Strategies for Rotavirus and Cholera Vaccine Uptake: A Systematic Review of Community-Based Interventions in Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Suggested changes are mentioned in comment file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its systematic review methodology, adhering to established guidelines such as PRISMA, which enhances its credibility and reproducibility. The rigorous selection process for primary studies, including the use of standardized appraisal tools like CASP, ensures that only high-quality research is included, thereby providing a solid foundation for the findings. Additionally, the integration of diverse qualitative data from multiple countries adds depth to the analysis, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of vaccination strategies and barriers in the context of childhood diarrhea. The emphasis on evidence-based recommendations further underscores the manuscript's technical soundness, highlighting its potential impact on public health policy and practice in the targeted regions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayub Khan
Department, University & Country	Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences, India