

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_124904
Title of the Manuscript:	A case study of Black oil PVT modeling with Differential Liberation Expansion (DLE) analysis and standing correlations
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Researcher have conducted some experiment and have measured PVT properties which is valuable. In addition, that have added some mathematic and experimental correlations which makes the paper look scientifically good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable just correct the spelling of modelling	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The problem that the paper has tried to solve should be introduced in beginning of the abstract. It should be stated why this paper is important. Abstract should not have reference.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No Introduction is not related to topic There is no literature review. There are two parts 'RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION ' and 'DISCUSSION OF RESULT which is not appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically correct. Researcher have conducted DL and have compared the results with standing which seems enough.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is recommended to add more recent researched to the references.	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language quality is fine.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammad Reza Mahdiani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Canada</b>