

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124913
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different organic liquid formulations on growth and yield of Soybean: A case Study
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p><b>Minor Comments</b></p> <p>The manuscript on the "Effect of Different Organic Liquid Formulations on Growth and Yield of Soybean" presents valuable research but requires improvements before publication. The abstract needs better clarity and more specific details on the outcomes, while the keywords should align more closely with the research content. The introduction could benefit from clearer objectives and flow, avoiding excessive general statistics. The methodology section should explain the treatments and experimental design more concisely. Additionally, in the results and discussion, clearer connections between the findings and cited literature are necessary. Graphs and tables could also be more effectively integrated into the discussion to highlight trends and implications. Finally, the conclusion should emphasize key findings and practical applications, while the references need proper formatting and alignment with the journal's style.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Imran Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman</b>