

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126215
Title of the Manuscript:	Arguments Against Federalism in Nepal: A Discussion on State Structure Reform
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is interesting and has important connotation as democracy and smooth governance is crucial for human wellbeing. Discussion of federal or state restructuring is important as it can bring new dimensions of governance, development, regional or ethnic dynamics, innovation in policy making and not least but last correctness in data and methodology. However the study concludes the voices or marginalized people in governance structure which already an integral part of federalism.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study can be considered scientifically if it could have provided with empirical evidence, some data driven decision making, comparative study, theoretical framework, any case study with policy analysis. Unfortunately, the study does not include any of this appropriately. Even the model countries chosen for comparison and analysis i.e. Switzerland does not match with state and society formation of Nepal as both of them stands apart. Moreover, no theoretical framework has been provided for discussion and there is much repetition of same thoughts are found. Hence, this study lacks in scientific maturity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Sufficient but not recent. The most updated is of the year 2021. Writer should use few recent studies on this topic.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but with repetition of thoughts in discussion section.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lubna Batool
Department, University & Country	University of Gujrat, Pakistan