

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126215
Title of the Manuscript:	Arguments Against Federalism in Nepal: A Discussion on State Structure Reform
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The text offers a thorough and critical examination of the discourse on federalism in Nepal, emphasizing the intricacies associated with state reorganization. The discourse is significant since it examines the convergence of ethnic, political, and geographical elements affecting government. This is an important addition to the comprehension of federalism in a nation characterized by considerable variety, providing insights that may be relevant in wider situations.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable and accurately represents the manuscript's subject. A more descriptive title, such as "Challenges and Critiques of Federalism in Nepal: A Discussion on State Structure Reform," would more effectively articulate the breadth of the argument.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is organized and offers a succinct overview of the study's aims, methods, results, and conclusions. Incorporating a succinct reference to the thematic approach employed in the technique might improve intelligibility.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The article is systematically structured, demonstrating a coherent transition from historical backdrop to contemporary discussions on federalism. Certain parts, such as "Ethnic Exploitation" and "Risk of Secession," might benefit from more data or case studies to enhance the arguments presented.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research seems to be methodologically sound, utilizing secondary data and theme analysis. The technique is suitable; nonetheless, the article might improve with a more explicit elucidation of the use of the thematic approach, incorporating concrete instances. The references are contemporary, pertinent, and sourced from reputable origins, guaranteeing the validity of the discourse.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent, covering a range of sources. Additional recent publications, especially those addressing post-2015 developments in Nepal's political landscape, could provide more depth.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-written, with clear and articulate language. However, there are occasional issues with sentence structure and phrasing that may need minor revisions for smoother readability. some examples of language issues with suggested revisions:</p> <p>Original: "The Rastriya Jana Morcha emphasizes that federalism could hinder the development of essential infrastructure and undermine the long-standing social cohesion among Nepalis."</p> <p>Revised: The Rastriya Jana Morcha contends that federalism might hinder the advancement of essential infrastructure and undermine the enduring social unity among Nepalis.</p> <p>Original: "The study adopts a qualitative research design, primarily using secondary data from various sources such as library archives, party manifestos, public speeches, and academic articles."</p> <p>Revised: "This study utilizes a qualitative research approach, employing secondary data from various sources such as library records, party manifestos, public speeches, and academic journals."</p> <p>Original: "In Nepal, the concept of a federal system is relatively new and lacks deep historical roots, throughout Nepal's political history, federalism was rarely mentioned."</p> <p>Revised: "The notion of federalism is rather recent in Nepal and is devoid of substantial historical foundations. Throughout the nation's political history, federalism was seldom referenced".</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Propose the inclusion of a section that examines potential future scenarios for federalism in Nepal, integrating viewpoints from many stakeholders.It may be advantageous to quickly elaborate on foreign parallels to interpret Nepal's circumstances within a global framework. <p>General Assessment: Minor Revision</p> <p>The article, "Arguments Against Federalism in Nepal: A Discussion on State Structure Reform," is a well-researched and analytical overview of the challenges surrounding Nepal's federalism implementation. The author skillfully integrates historical, political, and social views to illustrate the obstacles and criticisms of federal reorganization in a varied country. The study's use of secondary data and thematic analysis is acceptable, and it adds to our knowledge of federalism's consequences in Nepal's particular environment. However, in other cases, modest adjustments are required to improve the clarity and presentation of the text. The points below explain the precise areas to work on:</p> <p>Minor Revisions: Language and readability</p> <p>The work is generally well-written, however there are some difficulties with sentence structure and language. For example:</p> <p>Initial release: "The study adopts a qualitative research design, primarily using secondary data from various sources such as library archives, party manifestos, public speeches, and academic articles."</p> <p>Reprinted: "This study employs a qualitative research design, utilizing secondary data from diverse sources including library archives, party manifestos, public speeches, and academic articles."</p> <p>Simplify difficult phrases and improve flow to ensure that the work reads smoothly throughout.</p> <p>Abstract Clarity:</p> <p>The abstract adequately explains the study but might need a more detailed discussion of the methods. A quick statement of the thematic approach utilized in data analysis would assist readers understand what to expect.</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>Thematic Analysis Explanation:</p> <p>The text claims that a thematic analysis was utilized, however it would be beneficial to include a more detailed description of how the topics were found and examined. Including particular instances would increase the methodology's transparency and robustness.</p> <p>Expansion of key sections:</p> <p>Some parts, such as "Ethnic Exploitation" and "Risk of Secession," may benefit from in-depth discussions. Providing additional data or case studies would improve the arguments and better contextualize the objections.</p> <p>Consider include a section on potential future scenarios for Nepal's federalism that takes into account various stakeholder opinions. This might provide a more comprehensive conclusion to the investigation.</p> <p>References Update:</p> <p>The references are usually adequate, although it would be beneficial to include more current articles, particularly those that discuss changes in Nepal's political situation after 2015. This would guarantee that the study is still current and relevant to continuing conversations.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Yassin Sheikh
Department, University & Country	SIMAD University, Somalia