

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_126376
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection and Analysis of Land Use and Land Cover Dynamics in the Highlands of Northern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is very important for understanding the issue of landscape change and environmental sustainability, and to Plan and design policy management for future ecosystem service management in Ethiopia. Because land degradation is the main environmental problem, particularly in the northern parts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is not good. It could be rewritten as: Analysis of Land Use and Land Cover Dynamics in the Northern Ethiopian Highland	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	An abstract is short and concise representation of the entire paper that provide the whole message of the article. However, your abstract is not well-written. Therefore, please rewrite your abstract by incorporating the study objectives, methods and materials, main findings, and policy recommendations, respectively.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript dose not demonstrates scientific correctness through its rigorous methodology and comprehensive data analysis. The authors did not employ established techniques and frameworks related to establish the issue. Overall, the technical rigor and adherence to scholarly standards enhance the robustness of the findings presented in this manuscript needed more explanation and discussion about the issue and resubmit gain . <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wrongly integrated Landsat image for example 2017 ETM+/Landsat in the abstract section and method. • In Figure 1 inputs and outputs are Wrong linked • Figure 8 are not readable 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Authors used very old literature. Lack of referencing in the main the main text. Please compare and contract your result recently published articles that conducted northern Ethiopia (eg, Eshetu and Yimer, 2024; Ewunetu et al, 2021, Abebe et al. 2022..., as these types of paper are available on line sources. Most of your list of references is incomplete.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Your paper contains several lengthy and unclear sentences. It is advisable to seek assistance from a professional editor to enhance clarity.Avoid local word as this paper will be read by international communities.Many figures are unclear (e.g., in Fig. 1, it is not explained what the red line represents).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Your work is very poor please take time and rich it with primary and secondary data. Otherwise it is difficult to publish on this journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alelgn Ewunetu Temesgen
Department, University & Country	Woldia University, Ethiopia