

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_126068
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe Headache As The Sole Presenting Feature In A Rare Papillary Meningioma: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The way of explanation is good which can be read by all age group of people which is appreciable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Can add more works its only 93 words in total can add up to 250 words or minimum 140 words	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the article is good can use by researchers regarding further survey in brain tumour detection and identification.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Can add these in references 1. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering IJISAE, 2024, 12(15s), 382–388. 2. Palvadi, S. K., & Joseph, K. S. (2024). An overall survey of brain tumor detection with improved machine learning and deep learning techniques. <i>International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering</i> , 12(15s), 425–436.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Everything is fine. Quality is good. Way of representation is good. But only the disadvantage is can improve the abstract..	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Srinivas Kumar Palvadi
Department, University & Country	SRK Institute of Technology, India