

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_126068
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe Headache As The Sole Presenting Feature In A Rare Papillary Meningioma: A Case Report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This case report presents a rare and clinically significant case of papillary meningioma, which accounts for only 1-2.5% of all meningiomas. The patient, a 30-year-old man, presented with an isolated symptom of headache. The case is valuable because papillary meningiomas are aggressive, and their presentation with minimal symptoms highlights the diagnostic challenge and the need for prompt intervention. The surgical management and histopathological findings are well-documented, but there are areas that need further elaboration and clarification.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Rare Case Presentation: The focus on papillary meningioma, a rare and aggressive subtype of meningioma, is valuable for neurosurgeons and neurologists, contributing to the limited literature available. Clear Imaging and Pathological Correlation: The use of MRI findings with corresponding histological evidence strengthens the case, providing clear radiological-pathological correlation.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-</p>	<p>The references are adequate but could include more recent studies, particularly regarding WHO grading and the prognosis of papillary meningiomas. Suggestion: Ensure that all references are up to date and consider including more recent studies on the role of radiotherapy and long-term management strategies for papillary meningiomas.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammar and Clarity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript contains some minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing. A thorough grammatical review is recommended for clarity. For instance, "board base" should be corrected to "broad base," and "reoccurrence" should be "recurrence." 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents an interesting and rare case with educational value. However, the introduction and discussion sections need further development, and the inclusion of follow-up information and deeper literature analysis would strengthen the overall manuscript. Additionally, the manuscript requires minor language corrections.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinh Thi Phuong Hoai
Department, University & Country	Hue University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vietnam