

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_122807
Title of the Manuscript:	Food, it's Essence and Economic Impacts: A Thematic View.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical global issue by exploring the intersection of food security and economic development. It provides a thematic analysis that is both timely and relevant, especially in the context of ongoing global challenges such as climate change, food price volatility, and the rising prevalence of non-communicable diseases. The focus on Africa's food systems offers valuable insights that could inform both regional and global policy-making. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it bridges gaps between food security, health, and economic outcomes, presenting a holistic view that is essential for sustainable development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is appropriate and accurately reflects the content and scope of the manuscript. However, for improved clarity and emphasis, consider a slight modification: "Food: Its Essence and Economic Impacts – A Thematic Analysis."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a clearer statement of the paper's key findings and recommendations. The addition of a sentence or two summarizing the gaps identified and the implications for future research would enhance the abstract's impact.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-structured, with logical subsections that guide the reader through the thematic areas covered. However, the section on "Food and the Economy" could be divided into more specific subsections to improve readability, such as "Impact on Economic Development" and "Challenges in Food Security and Economic Stability."	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, with sound reasoning and evidence-based arguments. The thematic approach is effective in conveying the complex relationships between food security and economic impacts. However, the manuscript would benefit from incorporating more recent studies to strengthen the evidence base, particularly in the areas of climate change and technological innovation in agriculture.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are adequate but could be updated with more recent sources, especially those published in the last five years. Including additional references on climate change, technological innovation, and socio-political factors in food security would provide a more current and comprehensive review.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but some sentences could be rephrased for better clarity and fluency. For instance, consider revising the sentence, "When food can no longer give life and sustain health, it loses its essence" to "When food fails to sustain life and health, it loses its fundamental purpose."	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents a critical and thoughtful analysis of food security issues, but it could benefit from additional visual aids, such as graphs or tables, to illustrate key points, particularly in the discussion of economic impacts. Visual elements would enhance the reader's understanding and engagement with the data presented.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balcha Fraol Lemma
Department, University & Country	Japan