

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126555
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of Microscopic Modeling Methods and Applications of Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This article is very important in terms of the importance of producing a fiber-reinforced concrete that has good mechanical properties and durability. Because the use of new materials can help a lot in the stability of the structure, saving energy, saving money, protecting the environment, and also protecting people's lives and property. Also, by using artificial intelligence and analytical software, the behavior of these materials can be predicted to an acceptable amount, which is very attractive and valuable.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>No, it is not comprehensive. Important results should be added in the abstract section.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This article is very valuable and attractive in terms of using artificial intelligence and analytical software. But in this article, the content is generally presented and there is no reference to the results, methods and use of optimal amounts of fibers. So this article is scientifically interesting but not strong enough.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No, for review articles, the number of references and the use of updated references are recommended.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peyman Maleki
Department, University & Country	Ayatollah Boroujerdi University, Iran