

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_124671
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate social responsibility's impact on realizing economic, social, and cultural rights.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research addressed an important topic of contemporary issues that need more scientific research. The topic is important for individuals and societies as it leads to supporting sustainable development goals The researcher focused on the legal aspects and their role in supporting corporate social responsibility There is a gap in the research in not focusing on two matters And the proposed legal penalties in case of violating the law The ethical aspects of social responsibility	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	legal basis of Corporate social responsibility and its impact on realizing economic, social, and cultural rights.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Many points were presented in this research in a logical and organized sequence, but the researcher did not present strong proposals and did not allocate a section for scientific contributions to this research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is no pages number, there is no any diagrams, There are many titles at the end of pages	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research addressed an important issue. The importance of the topic was presented from a scientific perspective. The research can be considered disciplined, but it lacks several things, including: Not allocating a section to previous studies or literature. Not allocating a section to the scientific method used or the methodology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sherif Nagy Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Egypt