

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126189
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Spacing and Plant Growth Regulators on Organoleptic Evaluation of Strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch) cv. Winter Dawn Under Polyhouse Condition</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has scientific importance as it involves investigating effect of spacing and PGRs treatment level on strawberry fruit quality. The findings could provide an insight for the production of other fruit species also. Spacing and PGR treatment level and combination are two important variables that influence the quality and quantity of crop yield. Thus, the work is of scientific importance, but the initiation/objectives and method as well as overall write up matters.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Better to modify it as 'Effect of Spacing and Plant Growth Regulators on Organoleptic Quality of Strawberry ( <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch) cv. Winter Dawn'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract lacks clearly stated title representing background (Some points about influences of spacing and PGRs on fruit quality should be included briefly). It also lacks brief description from the objective and conclusion parts. Key words should be added at the end unless prohibited by the journal guideline.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are more or less ordered except the absence of objectives.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present manuscript has scientific significance in its theme as it strives to measure important factors that influence the fruit quality of strawberry. But the paper has some inadequacies in its scientific approach, for instance the research gap and research question is not indicated at all. The general and specific objectives are not stated totally.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are insufficient, particularly in the discussion part.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Requires revision based on comments provided in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tilahun Hailu Sisay
Department, University & Country	Adama Science and Technology University, Ethiopia