

## Review Article

# Climate Change Impacts on Agricultural Systems Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies- A review

### Abstract

Climate change poses a substantial threat to global agricultural systems, impacting crop yields, livestock productivity, and resource availability through rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and increased frequency of extreme weather events. This review synthesizes current understanding of climate change impacts on agriculture and explores strategies for mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions while enhancing system resilience through adaptation. Mitigation approaches, such as conservation agriculture, agroforestry, and improved nutrient and livestock management, show significant potential to reduce emissions and increase carbon sequestration. However, economic, technological, and institutional barriers such as high implementation costs, limited access to finance, and inadequate policy support restrict their adoption. Adaptation strategies, including crop diversification, advanced water management, and climate-resilient technologies, are critical for sustaining agricultural productivity in variable climates. Synergies between mitigation and adaptation, such as integrating trees into croplands, can provide co-benefits, but trade-offs arise when resource competition occurs or land is diverted from food production to carbon sequestration. The review highlights the need for interdisciplinary research, robust data infrastructure, and tailored policies to bridge knowledge and resource gaps, particularly in vulnerable regions. Successful implementation requires coordinated efforts across stakeholders, supported by enabling policy frameworks that incentivize sustainable practices and align with global climate goals. Future research should prioritize understanding the long-term impacts of integrated strategies, enhancing monitoring systems, and fostering inclusive approaches that consider local contexts and socio-economic conditions. Addressing these challenges holistically will be crucial to building resilient agricultural systems capable of maintaining food security and supporting livelihoods in the face of accelerating climate change.

**Keywords:** *Climate Change, Mitigation, Adaptation, Agroforestry, Carbon Sequestration*

## I. Introduction

### A. *Climate Change and Its Significance*

Climate change is a global phenomenon referring to long-term shifts in temperature, precipitation, and the frequency of extreme weather events, primarily driven by human activities like fossil fuel combustion, deforestation, and industrial processes. Since the mid-20th century, anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have increased significantly, resulting in a global temperature rise of approximately 1.1°C above pre-industrial levels [1]. This trend leads to altered weather patterns, more intense heatwaves, erratic rainfall, and shifts in hydrological cycles. These changes pose considerable risks to ecosystems, water resources, and human health, with substantial consequences for agriculture, a sector crucial to food security and economic stability. Agricultural production is highly sensitive to climatic

variables, and the ongoing warming trends exacerbate risks to crop and livestock systems, impacting food supply chains and livelihoods, particularly in developing regions [2]. Moreover, climate change drives resource degradation, contributing to soil erosion, water scarcity, and biodiversity loss. Thus, climate change emerges as a complex socio-economic and political challenge demanding a multi-sectoral approach.

### *B. Importance of Studying Impacts on Agricultural Systems*

Agriculture contributes significantly to global GHG emissions, accounting for around 19-29%, predominantly from enteric fermentation, manure management, and synthetic fertilizers. As climate change progresses, its impacts on agriculture will be diverse, affecting crop yields, livestock health, soil fertility, and water availability [3]. Rising temperatures shorten the growth period for crops like wheat and maize, while elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels can variably impact crop quality and nutrition. Agriculture's importance extends beyond food production to socio-economic roles, particularly in rural regions where livelihoods rely heavily on farming. With over 1 billion people employed globally, agriculture is vital for socio-economic growth. However, climate change threatens to reduce agricultural productivity, escalate food prices, and increase food insecurity [4]. Smallholder farmers in low-income areas face heightened vulnerability due to limited resources, adaptive capacity, and dependence on rain-fed agriculture. Assessing climate change's impacts on agriculture is essential for effective adaptation and mitigation strategies, informing policy, guiding investments, and supporting equitable adaptation. Furthermore, understanding these impacts aligns with global development goals, particularly SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) and SDG 13 (Climate Action).

### *C. Scope and Objectives of the Review*

This review aims to comprehensively assess the impacts of climate change on agricultural systems, focusing on both mitigation and adaptation. It synthesizes literature on how climate change affects crop production, livestock systems, and resource availability, and examines the socio-economic ramifications of these effects [5]. Additionally, it highlights key strategies to reduce agricultural GHG emissions and increase sector resilience. Specifically, the review covers: (1) direct and indirect effects on agricultural productivity and sustainability, (2) mitigation strategies targeting emissions reduction and carbon sequestration in agriculture, and (3) adaptation approaches at farm and policy levels to build resilience against climate uncertainties. The scope includes an evaluation of technological, institutional, and policy interventions, focusing on proven strategies across regions and farming systems [6]. By drawing on interdisciplinary insights, this review identifies knowledge gaps and suggests future research directions to advance sustainable agriculture under climate challenges. The ultimate goal is to provide a foundation for stakeholders, including policymakers, researchers, and farmers, to develop integrated strategies addressing both challenges and opportunities presented by climate change.

## **II. Impacts of Climate Change on Agricultural Systems**

### *A. Major Climate Change Drivers Affecting Agriculture*

Key drivers of climate change impacting agriculture include temperature increases, altered precipitation patterns, and more frequent extreme weather events [Table 1] [7]. Global temperatures have risen by approximately 1.1°C since pre-industrial levels, with projections of an additional 1.5-2°C increase by century's end, affecting growing seasons, plant

phenology, and crop suitability. Shifts in precipitation further contribute to droughts and intensified rainfall, increasing water scarcity and flood risks [8]. Extreme events such as heatwaves, storms, and hurricanes increasingly damage crops and infrastructure, intensifying food production challenges globally [9].

#### *B. Direct Impacts on Crop and Livestock Productivity*

Climate change affects crop yields by disrupting processes like photosynthesis, respiration, and transpiration. Temperature-sensitive crops such as maize, wheat, and rice suffer yield reductions due to heat stress, with each degree Celsius increase potentially reducing wheat and maize yields by 6% and 7.4%, respectively [10]. Changing precipitation patterns also lead to moisture stress and limited biomass. Livestock face heat stress, feed limitations, and disease susceptibility, reducing milk production and reproductive success, while increasing mortality rates in animals like poultry and swine [11].

#### *C. Indirect Impacts on Soil Health, Water Resources, and Pest Dynamics*

Climate change indirectly affects agriculture by degrading soil health, altering water resources, and influencing pest dynamics. Increased erosion, nutrient leaching, and organic matter loss from extreme weather reduce soil fertility [12]. Water scarcity from droughts impacts irrigation potential, while flooding damages infrastructure and contributes to waterlogging, inhibiting root growth. Pests and pathogens, such as the fall armyworm and rust fungi, expand their ranges and lifecycle durations under warmer conditions, creating new threats for crop protection [13].

#### *D. Regional Differences in Climate Change Impacts*

Climate impacts vary regionally based on climate, practices, and socio-economic conditions [14]. In tropical and subtropical regions, increased drought frequency threatens staple crops like maize, with potential yield losses up to 50% in Sub-Saharan Africa by 2050. Temperate regions may initially benefit from extended growing seasons but face rising extreme weather risks [15]. Coastal areas, such as South and Southeast Asia, contend with sea-level rise and salinization, threatening rice production and necessitating salt-tolerant varieties [16].

**Table:1** Impacts of Climate Change on Agricultural Systems Sources: [9], [10], [12], [14]

<b>Impact Category</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>Affected Regions</b>	<b>Adaptation Strategies</b>
<b>Temperature Changes</b>	Increased average temperatures affecting crop growth and season length	Reduced crop yields in wheat, maize	Tropics, Subtropics	Heat-tolerant crop varieties, shifting planting dates
<b>Water Availability</b>	Altered precipitation patterns leading to droughts or excessive rainfall	Water scarcity in dry regions	Sub-Saharan Africa, Asia	Improved irrigation, water conservation
<b>Soil Degradation</b>	Intensified erosion and nutrient depletion	Loss of arable land	Coastal and semi-arid	Soil conservation techniques,

	from extreme weather		areas	agroforestry
<b>Pest and Disease Spread</b>	Expanded habitats for pests and pathogens due to warmer climates	Increased pest pressure in rice, coffee	Tropical and temperate regions	Integrated pest management (IPM), resistant varieties
<b>Extreme Weather Events</b>	More frequent and severe storms, floods, and heatwaves impacting crop productivity	Crop damage from floods, storms	Coastal and flood-prone areas	Disaster-resilient infrastructure, crop insurance
<b>Crop and Livestock Health</b>	Heat stress impacting livestock health and productivity	Lower milk yield in cattle	Arid and semi-arid zones	Shade structures, heat-resistant breeds

### III. Mitigation Strategies for Agriculture

#### A. Sustainable Agricultural Practices to Reduce Emissions

Sustainable practices like conservation tillage, cover cropping, crop rotation, and agroforestry reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and improve resilience. Conservation tillage minimizes soil disturbance, preserving soil carbon (SOC) stocks and reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with no-till practices sequestering 0.3 to 0.6 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per hectare annually [17]. Cover crops prevent erosion, increase SOC, and reduce the need for nitrogen fertilizers, lowering N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Agroforestry, by integrating trees in crop landscapes, enhances carbon sequestration, estimated at 2.1 to 4.2 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in tropical systems [18]. Organic farming also contributes to lower emissions due to high soil organic matter and reduced synthetic inputs, sequestering up to 0.4 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> [19].

#### B. Carbon Sequestration Approaches (e.g., Soil Health, Agroforestry)

Carbon sequestration through enhanced soil health practices and agroforestry is vital for climate mitigation. Methods such as cover cropping, reduced tillage, and organic amendments boost SOC, benefiting water retention and nutrient cycling. Biochar application, which sequesters carbon in soil, can potentially mitigate 12% of global agricultural GHG emissions by improving nutrient retention and supporting beneficial microbes [20]. Agroforestry systems sequester up to 50-100 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> over 20 years and improve soil organic carbon (SOC) as seen in Africa's Sahel through farmer-managed natural regeneration [21]. Improved pasture management in livestock systems further enhances SOC storage, sequestering up to 0.3 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> annually.

#### C. Improved Livestock and Nutrient Management

Livestock contributes around 14.5% of global anthropogenic GHGs, mainly through methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) from enteric fermentation and N<sub>2</sub>O from manure [22]. Reducing emissions involves improved feed (e.g., supplements like tannins, which can lower CH<sub>4</sub> emissions by 20-30%), breeding for low-emission animals, and better manure management. Technologies like anaerobic digesters convert methane into biogas, lowering emissions while producing renewable energy. Nutrient management via precision agriculture and nitrification inhibitors

reduces N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by optimizing fertilizer use and controlling nitrogen conversion in soil [24].

#### D. Technological and Policy Innovations for Emission Reduction

Technologies such as precision agriculture, remote sensing, and GPS-guided machinery improve resource efficiency and reduce emissions by enabling targeted input use [25]. Policy tools, including carbon pricing, payments for ecosystem services (PES), and carbon credits, incentivize low-emission practices. Programs like the UN’s REDD+ and the Koronivia Joint Work on Agriculture integrate sustainable agriculture in global climate plans, fostering collaboration and resource support for agricultural mitigation strategies [26].

**Table:** key mitigation strategies for agriculture:

Strategy	Description	Benefits	Examples
<b>Sustainable Practices</b>	Conservation tillage, cover cropping, crop rotation, and agroforestry to improve soil health	Reduces emissions, improves resilience, soil fertility	No-till sequesters 0.3-0.6 t CO <sub>2</sub> /ha/year [17]
<b>Carbon Sequestration</b>	Enhancing soil and biomass carbon through cover crops, biochar, and agroforestry	Increases SOC, reduces atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub>	Biochar mitigates 12% of agri-GHG emissions [20]
<b>Livestock Management</b>	Improved feed, methane-reducing supplements, and manure management to cut emissions	Lowers CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O emissions, boosts productivity	Feed supplements reduce CH <sub>4</sub> by 20-30% [22]
<b>Nutrient Management</b>	Precision application, controlled-release fertilizers, and nitrification inhibitors	Reduces N <sub>2</sub> O emissions, optimizes fertilizer use	Precision agriculture lowers N use [24]
<b>Technological Innovations</b>	Precision agriculture, remote sensing, and ICT tools to optimize resource use	Reduces input waste, enhances decision-making	GPS-guided machinery, real-time soil data [25]
<b>Policy Support</b>	Carbon pricing, subsidies, and ecosystem service payments for sustainable practices	Incentivizes low-emission practices	REDD+ promotes agroforestry globally [26]

## IV. Adaptation Strategies for Agriculture

### A. Farm-Level Strategies (Crop Diversification, Water Management)

Farm-level strategies like crop diversification and water management enhance agricultural resilience to climate change. Crop diversification, such as intercropping maize with legumes, reduces risk from climate variability by enhancing soil fertility and protecting yields against heat and drought stress, potentially increasing yields by 20-30% under adverse conditions

[27]. Water management through rainwater harvesting, drip irrigation, and soil moisture conservation improves water-use efficiency, with drip irrigation boosting efficiency by up to 90% compared to traditional methods [28]. Agroforestry integrates trees with crops, stabilizing soil and reducing water stress, while increasing farm productivity by 30-50% in degraded areas [29].

#### *B. Regional and Landscape-Level Adaptation*

Regional strategies, like integrated watershed management, improve resource availability across landscapes. Watershed projects with afforestation and soil conservation in India's semi-arid areas, for example, have increased productivity for over 1.5 million farmers [30]. Landscape diversification with agroforestry and silvopastoral systems bolsters biodiversity and ecological resilience. Climate-smart villages, promoting localized adaptation practices like drought-resistant crops and community water management, have improved resilience for smallholder farmers in South Asia and Africa [31].

#### *C. Role of Technology and Innovation in Enhancing Resilience*

Technological advancements enhance agricultural resilience through climate-smart crops, digital tools, and ICT. Drought- and flood-tolerant varieties, like submergence-tolerant rice, protect yields in vulnerable regions, aiding over 5 million farmers in South Asia [32]. Digital tools, such as remote sensing and GIS, enable real-time monitoring, reducing crop losses by up to 30% through timely responses to pests and diseases [33]. Mobile apps and weather advisory services also support resilience, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where ICT has improved adoption of resilient practices and crop yields.

#### *D. Socioeconomic and Policy Interventions*

Socioeconomic and policy measures, including robust extension services, insurance, and climate-inclusive policies, support adaptation. Expanded extension services, exemplified in Kenya, increase farmers' access to drought-tolerant varieties and water management techniques, bolstering resilience [34]. Index-based insurance schemes in Ethiopia and India reduce financial impacts of climate events, encouraging adaptive investments. Policy integration of climate adaptation, guided by frameworks like the UNFCCC and Paris Agreement, mainstreams climate resilience in agriculture, ensuring vulnerable groups' inclusion in adaptation planning [35].

### **V. Barriers to Implementation**

#### *A. Economic and Financial Challenges*

Economic constraints, including high upfront costs for technologies like precision agriculture and improved irrigation, deter farmers, particularly smallholders, from adopting climate adaptation measures [36]. Access to credit is limited, and high interest rates further restrict investments in climate-smart agriculture (CSA) [37]. Market incentives for sustainable practices are scarce, and without mechanisms like carbon credit schemes, farmers often lack motivation to invest in practices such as conservation tillage [38]. Insurance options, such as index-based schemes, remain underdeveloped, inaccessible, and often too complex for smallholders to utilize effectively [39].

#### *B. Knowledge and Awareness Gaps*

Knowledge gaps hinder the adoption of resilient agricultural practices, especially in developing regions. Less than 50% of sub-Saharan African farmers, for instance, are aware of

climate-smart agricultural methods, a gap compounded by limited extension services and high farmer-to-agent ratios [40]. Gender disparities in access to information also limit adoption, with women less likely to receive training or adopt improved varieties, as seen in Kenya where women farmers are 30% less likely to adopt new maize varieties [42]. Additionally, limited accessibility to climate information services, due to language and literacy barriers, reduces effective planning, as evidenced in Senegal where only 12% of farmers used available climate forecasts [43].

### *C. Institutional, Policy, and Technological Constraints*

Weak policies and institutional capacities often prevent coherent climate adaptation initiatives, with agricultural policies in many countries prioritizing short-term productivity over long-term sustainability [44]. Limited infrastructure, technical expertise, and interagency coordination hamper implementation, as observed in the Philippines, where overlapping government roles hinder climate-resilient programs. Technological constraints further impact adoption; high costs and limited availability of advanced tools, such as GIS and precision agriculture, limit their use among smallholders [45]. Social resistance to change and cultural preferences for traditional practices can also slow adoption of CSA methods [46].

## **VI. Synergies and Trade-offs Between Mitigation and Adaptation**

### **A. Synergistic Strategies Addressing Both Mitigation and Adaptation**

Synergistic strategies are those that achieve both mitigation and adaptation goals simultaneously, creating “win-win” scenarios that enhance resilience while reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [47]. One of the most widely recognized synergistic practices is agroforestry, which integrates trees and shrubs into agricultural landscapes. Agroforestry sequesters significant amounts of carbon in both above-ground biomass and soils, while also providing shade, reducing wind erosion, and improving microclimatic conditions for crops and livestock. This practice enhances the overall resilience of agricultural systems to extreme weather events and improves biodiversity, soil fertility, and water regulation. For example, research in the Sahel region of Africa has shown that agroforestry practices, such as the integration of *Faidherbia albida*, can increase crop yields by up to 30% and sequester up to 2.5 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. Another example is conservation agriculture, which combines no-till farming, crop residue management, and crop rotation [48]. Conservation agriculture improves soil organic carbon (SOC) levels, reduces soil erosion, and enhances soil moisture retention, thereby increasing the system’s resilience to drought and extreme weather. It simultaneously reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by maintaining SOC stocks and reducing the energy used in conventional tillage operations. In sub-Saharan Africa, conservation agriculture has been shown to increase maize yields by 40-100% under dry conditions, demonstrating its dual role in mitigating emissions and enhancing resilience. Improved livestock management is another strategy that offers synergies between adaptation and mitigation. Practices such as rotational grazing, improved pasture management, and feed optimization reduce methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions from enteric fermentation and enhance soil carbon storage [49]. At the same time, these practices increase the resilience of grazing systems to climate variability by improving pasture quality and reducing overgrazing, which can exacerbate land degradation. For instance, a study in Australia found that rotational grazing increased soil carbon sequestration by 0.3 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> while also improving forage availability during drought periods. Water management strategies, such as rainwater

harvesting and drip irrigation, can enhance both adaptation and mitigation outcomes. Improved irrigation techniques reduce water use, making agricultural systems more resilient to water scarcity, while also reducing the energy required for water pumping and irrigation. In India, the adoption of drip irrigation has reduced GHG emissions by 60% compared to traditional flood irrigation, while also increasing water-use efficiency by 50% [50].

## **B. Potential Trade-offs and Resource Competition**

While some strategies offer synergies, others present potential trade-offs, particularly when the goals of mitigation and adaptation conflict. For example, afforestation and reforestation are widely promoted as carbon sequestration strategies, but they can compete with agricultural land use, leading to reduced food production and increased food prices. In regions where land is scarce, allocating land to tree planting for carbon sequestration can undermine food security and exacerbate poverty, especially for smallholder farmers. A study found that converting agricultural land to forestry in low-income regions can displace food crops, leading to increased food insecurity unless alternative income sources are provided [51]. Bioenergy production presents another significant trade-off. While bioenergy crops can reduce fossil fuel emissions, their cultivation often requires large tracts of arable land and intensive water use, which can impact food production and water availability. The expansion of bioenergy crops such as maize and sugarcane has been linked to deforestation and biodiversity loss in parts of Latin America and Southeast Asia. In addition, bioenergy crops can exacerbate water scarcity in regions where water resources are already limited, creating further challenges for agricultural adaptation. Intensification of agricultural production to increase productivity and reduce land-use change can lead to increased GHG emissions from fertilizer use, soil degradation, and water pollution [52]. For instance, the widespread use of synthetic fertilizers to boost yields can lead to increased nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions, a potent GHG, undermining the mitigation benefits of increased productivity. Similarly, the adoption of high-yielding crop varieties that require more water and nutrients can lead to resource competition, particularly in water-scarce regions. Water management strategies can also create trade-offs. For example, while building large-scale irrigation infrastructure can improve resilience to drought, it can also lead to increased energy use, GHG emissions, and water conflicts. Moreover, over-reliance on groundwater for irrigation can lead to aquifer depletion, reducing long-term water availability and undermining the sustainability of agricultural systems [53].

## **C. Balancing Priorities and Policy Integration**

Balancing mitigation and adaptation priorities requires integrated policy frameworks that consider the local context, resource availability, and socio-economic conditions. Effective policy integration involves promoting practices that provide multiple benefits while minimizing trade-offs, such as agroecological approaches that enhance both environmental sustainability and agricultural productivity. For instance, policies that support the adoption of agroforestry through financial incentives, technical assistance, and secure land tenure can enhance both carbon sequestration and farm resilience. Policies should also prioritize inclusive and participatory approaches to ensure that the needs and perspectives of vulnerable groups, such as smallholder farmers, women, and indigenous communities, are considered [54]. This includes providing targeted support for capacity building, access to resources, and climate information services, which are critical for enabling these groups to adopt climate-

resilient practices. Additionally, integrating climate adaptation and mitigation goals into national development plans and agricultural strategies can help align investments and policy actions, reducing the potential for conflicting objectives. One promising approach is the concept of climate-smart agriculture (CSA), which aims to achieve the triple goals of increasing productivity, enhancing resilience, and reducing emissions. By promoting practices that balance these objectives, CSA provides a framework for integrated policy development. For example, Rwanda's national CSA strategy includes a mix of agroforestry, soil conservation, and water management practices that address both adaptation and mitigation goals, while also enhancing food security and rural livelihoods [55].

## VII. Future and Research Gaps

### A. *Emerging Research Areas and Interdisciplinary Needs*

Future research should explore the synergies and trade-offs between mitigation and adaptation in agriculture, focusing on integrated strategies that consider agricultural productivity, biodiversity, and carbon sequestration. Multi-functional landscape management, including mosaic landscapes and buffer zones, needs further study to optimize multiple objectives [56]. Interdisciplinary research, integrating agronomy, ecology, and social sciences, is essential to understand the economic viability of combined strategies like agroforestry and conservation agriculture, while also incorporating indigenous knowledge systems for resilience and sustainable resource management [57].

### B. *Continuous Monitoring and Data Infrastructure*

Robust monitoring and data infrastructure are needed to evaluate agricultural mitigation and adaptation strategies. Remote sensing, GIS, and big data analytics offer real-time insights into crop, soil, and water conditions, though these technologies remain underutilized in low-income regions due to cost and technical challenges. Capacity building and technology transfer are vital to enhance data-driven decision-making in these areas [58].

### C. *Policy and Practical Recommendations*

Policy recommendations include establishing supportive frameworks with financial incentives, technical support, and ecosystem service payments for practices like agroforestry and soil conservation. Policies should also encourage multi-stakeholder platforms that foster knowledge sharing, collaboration, and locally tailored solutions to strengthen adoption of integrated climate strategies [59].

## Conclusion

The impacts of climate change on agriculture are profound and multifaceted, necessitating integrated mitigation and adaptation strategies to safeguard food security and rural livelihoods. While synergistic approaches like agroforestry and conservation agriculture offer promising solutions, significant barriers—such as financial constraints, knowledge gaps, and policy misalignments—impede their widespread adoption. Addressing these barriers requires interdisciplinary research, robust data infrastructure, and supportive policy frameworks that promote both resilience and emission reductions. Effective strategies must balance mitigation and adaptation goals, considering local contexts and socio-economic factors. Future efforts should focus on enhancing coordination between stakeholders, scaling up successful practices, and creating incentives for sustainable land management. By prioritizing holistic and inclusive approaches, policymakers and practitioners can build resilient agricultural

systems capable of sustaining productivity and reducing climate risks in the face of an uncertain future.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

## References

1. Glikson, A. Y. (2023). An Anthropogenic Catastrophe. In *The Trials of Gaia: Milestones in the Evolution of Earth with Reference to the Anthropocene* (pp. 67-81). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
2. Godde, C. M., Mason-D'Croz, D., Mayberry, D. E., Thornton, P. K., & Herrero, M. (2021). Impacts of climate change on the livestock food supply chain; a review of the evidence. *Global food security*, 28, 100488.
3. Lobell, D. B., & Gourdji, S. M. (2012). The influence of climate change on global crop productivity. *Plant physiology*, 160(4), 1686-1697.
4. Campbell, B. M., Vermeulen, S. J., Aggarwal, P. K., Corner-Dolloff, C., Girvetz, E., Loboguerrero, A. M., ... & Wollenberg, E. (2016). Reducing risks to food security from climate change. *Global food security*, 11, 34-43.
5. Escarcha, J. F., Lassa, J. A., & Zander, K. K. (2018). Livestock under climate change: a systematic review of impacts and adaptation. *Climate*, 6(3), 54.
6. Westermann, O., Förch, W., Thornton, P., Körner, J., Cramer, L., & Campbell, B. (2018). Scaling up agricultural interventions: Case studies of climate-smart agriculture. *Agricultural Systems*, 165, 283-293.
7. Thornton, P. K., Ericksen, P. J., Herrero, M., & Challinor, A. J. (2014). Climate variability and vulnerability to climate change: a review. *Global change biology*, 20(11), 3313-3328.
8. Vinke, K., Martin, M. A., Adams, S., Baarsch, F., Bondeau, A., Coumou, D., ... & Svirejeva-Hopkins, A. (2017). Climatic risks and impacts in South Asia: extremes of water scarcity and excess. *Regional Environmental Change*, 17, 1569-1583.
9. De Haen, H., & Hemrich, G. (2007). The economics of natural disasters: Implications and challenges for food security. *Agricultural economics*, 37, 31-45.
10. Zhu, T., Fonseca De Lima, C. F., & De Smet, I. (2021). The heat is on: how crop growth, development, and yield respond to high temperature. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 72(21), 7359-7373.
11. Henry, B. K., Eckard, R. J., & Beauchemin, K. A. (2018). Adaptation of ruminant livestock production systems to climate changes. *Animal*, 12(s2), s445-s456.
12. Allen, D. E., Singh, B. P., & Dalal, R. C. (2011). Soil health indicators under climate change: a review of current knowledge. *Soil health and climate change*, 25-45.
13. Sutherst, R. W., Constable, F., Finlay, K. J., Harrington, R., Luck, J., & Zalucki, M. P. (2011). Adapting to crop pest and pathogen risks under a changing climate. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 2(2), 220-237.
14. Olesen, J. E. (2016). Socio-economic impacts—agricultural systems. *North sea region climate change assessment*, 397-407.
15. Peltonen-Sainio, P., Jauhiainen, L., Hakala, K., & Ojanen, H. (2009). Climate change and prolongation of growing season: changes in regional potential for field crop production in Finland.

16. Schneider, P., & Asch, F. (2020). Rice production and food security in Asian Mega deltas—A review on characteristics, vulnerabilities and agricultural adaptation options to cope with climate change. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 206(4), 491-503.
17. Abbas, F., Hammad, H. M., Ishaq, W., Farooque, A. A., Bakhat, H. F., Zia, Z., ... & Cerdà, A. (2020). A review of soil carbon dynamics resulting from agricultural practices. *Journal of environmental management*, 268, 110319.
18. Pandey, D. N. (2002). Carbon sequestration in agroforestry systems. *Climate policy*, 2(4), 367-377.
19. Defries, R., & Rosenzweig, C. (2010). Toward a whole-landscape approach for sustainable land use in the tropics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(46), 19627-19632.
20. Elkhilifi, Z., Iftikhar, J., Sarraf, M., Ali, B., Saleem, M. H., Ibranshabib, I., ... & Chen, Z. (2023). Potential role of biochar on capturing soil nutrients, carbon sequestration and managing environmental challenges: a review. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2527.
21. Gross, C. D., Bork, E. W., Carlyle, C. N., & Chang, S. X. (2022). Agroforestry perennials reduce nitrous oxide emissions and their live and dead trees increase ecosystem carbon storage. *Global Change Biology*, 28(20), 5956-5972.
22. Jayasundara, S., Ranga Niroshan Appuhamy, J. A. D., Kebreab, E., & Wagner-Riddle, C. (2016). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from Canadian dairy farms and mitigation options: An updated review. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 96(3), 306-331.
23. Wall, E., Simm, G., & Moran, D. (2010). Developing breeding schemes to assist mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions. *Animal*, 4(3), 366-376.
24. Hassan, M. U., Aamer, M., Mahmood, A., Awan, M. I., Barbanti, L., Seleiman, M. F., ... & Huang, G. (2022). Management strategies to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in agriculture. *Life*, 12(3), 439.
25. Nath, S. (2024). A vision of precision agriculture: Balance between agricultural sustainability and environmental stewardship. *Agronomy Journal*, 116(3), 1126-1143.
26. Garbach, K., Lubell, M., & DeClerck, F. A. (2012). Payment for ecosystem services: the roles of positive incentives and information sharing in stimulating adoption of silvopastoral conservation practices. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 156, 27-36.
27. Bradshaw, B., Dolan, H., & Smit, B. (2004). Farm-level adaptation to climatic variability and change: crop diversification in the Canadian prairies. *Climatic change*, 67(1), 119-141.
28. Alauddin, M., & Sarker, M. A. R. (2014). Climate change and farm-level adaptation decisions and strategies in drought-prone and groundwater-depleted areas of Bangladesh: an empirical investigation. *Ecological Economics*, 106, 204-213.
29. Dobhal, S., Kumar, R., Bhardwaj, A. K., Chavan, S. B., Uthappa, A. R., Kumar, M., ... & Ramawat, N. (2024). Global assessment of production benefits and risk reduction in agroforestry during extreme weather events under climate change scenarios. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 7, 1379741.
30. Abbasi, N. A., Xu, X., Lucas-Borja, M. E., Dang, W., & Liu, B. (2019). The use of check dams in watershed management projects: Examples from around the world. *Science of the total environment*, 676, 683-691.
31. Aggarwal, P. K., Jarvis, A., Campbell, B. M., Zougmore, R. B., Khatri-Chhetri, A., Vermeulen, S. J., ... & Tan Yen, B. (2018). The climate-smart village approach: framework of an integrative strategy for scaling up adaptation options in agriculture.
32. Lal, D., Chauhan, C., Joshi, A., Deo, I., & Singh, S. (2024). Smart Breeding for Climate-Resilient Agriculture. In *Smart Breeding* (pp. 155-167). Apple Academic Press.

33. Kingra, P. K., Majumder, D., & Singh, S. P. (2016). Application of remote sensing and GIS in agriculture and natural resource management under changing climatic conditions. *Agricultural Research Journal*, 53(3).
34. Antwi-Agyei, P., & Stringer, L. C. (2021). Improving the effectiveness of agricultural extension services in supporting farmers to adapt to climate change: Insights from northeastern Ghana. *Climate Risk Management*, 32, 100304.
35. Klein, R. J. (2010). Mainstreaming climate adaptation into development: A policy dilemma. *Climate governance and development*, 35.
36. Poulton, C., Dorward, A., & Kydd, J. (2010). The future of small farms: New directions for services, institutions, and intermediation. *World development*, 38(10), 1413-1428.
37. Mungai, E. M., Ndiritu, S. W., & Da Silva, I. (2021). Unlocking Climate Finance Potential for Climate Adaptation: Case of Climate Smart Agricultural Financing in Sub Saharan Africa. In *African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation* (pp. 2063-2083). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
38. van Asseldonk, M., Girvetz, E., Pamuk, H., Wattel, C., & Ruben, R. (2023). Policy incentives for smallholder adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 5, 1112311.
39. Willis, L. E. (2008). Against financial-literacy education. *Iowa L. Rev.*, 94, 197.
40. Glendenning, C. J., Babu, S., & Asenso-Okyere, K. (2010). Review of agricultural extension in India. *Are farmers information needs being met*, 55.
41. Hansen, J. W., Vaughan, C., Kagabo, D. M., Dinku, T., Carr, E. R., Körner, J., & Zougmore, R. B. (2019). Climate services can support african farmers' context-specific adaptation needs at scale. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 3, 21.
42. Kingiri, A., & Ndiritu, S. (2014). Assessment of extension and advisory methods and approaches to reach rural women (examples from Kenya). *Nairobi, Kenya: Modernizing Extension and Advisory Services (MEAS). Recuperado el*, 23.
43. Mase, A. S., & Prokopy, L. S. (2014). Unrealized potential: A review of perceptions and use of weather and climate information in agricultural decision making. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 6(1), 47-61.
44. Vermeulen, S. J., Dinesh, D., Howden, S. M., Cramer, L., & Thornton, P. K. (2018). Transformation in practice: a review of empirical cases of transformational adaptation in agriculture under climate change. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 2, 65.
45. Singh, A. K. (2022). Precision agriculture in india—opportunities and challenges. *Indian Journal of Fertilisers*, 18(4), 308-331.
46. Mazhar, R., Ghafoor, A., Xuehao, B., & Wei, Z. (2021). Fostering sustainable agriculture: Do institutional factors impact the adoption of multiple climate-smart agricultural practices among new entry organic farmers in Pakistan?. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 283, 124620.
47. Hasan, M. A., Abubakar, I. R., Rahman, S. M., Aina, Y. A., Chowdhury, M. M. I., & Khondaker, A. N. (2020). The synergy between climate change policies and national development goals: Implications for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 249, 119369.
48. Rusinamhodzi, L. (2015). Crop rotations and residue management in conservation agriculture. *Conservation agriculture*, 21-37.
49. Machado, J. M., Motta, E. A. M. D., Barbosa, M. R., Weiler, R. L., Mills, A., Ongaratto, F., ... & Silveira, D. C. (2022). Strategies to mitigate the emission of methane in pastures: enteric methane: a review. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 16(6), 682-690.
50. Mallareddy, M., Thirumalaikumar, R., Balasubramanian, P., Naseeruddin, R., Nithya, N., Mariadoss, A., ... & Vijayakumar, S. (2023). Maximizing water use efficiency in rice farming: A comprehensive review of innovative irrigation management technologies. *Water*, 15(10), 1802.

51. Kahane, R., Hodgkin, T., Jaenicke, H., Hoogendoorn, C., Hermann, M., Keatinge, J. D. H., ... & Looney, N. (2013). Agrobiodiversity for food security, health and income. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, 33, 671-693.
52. Zuo, L., Zhang, Z., Carlson, K. M., MacDonald, G. K., Brauman, K. A., Liu, Y., ... & West, P. C. (2018). Progress towards sustainable intensification in China challenged by land-use change. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(6), 304-313.
53. Panda, D. K., Ambast, S. K., & Shamsudduha, M. (2021). Groundwater depletion in northern India: Impacts of the sub-regional anthropogenic land-use, socio-politics and changing climate. *Hydrological Processes*, 35(2), e14003.
54. Huyer, S., Simelton, E., Chanana, N., Mulema, A. A., & Marty, E. (2021). Expanding opportunities: A framework for gender and socially-inclusive climate resilient agriculture. *Frontiers in Climate*, 3, 718240.
55. Vara Prasad, P. V. V., Hijmans, R. J., Pierzynski, G. M., & Middelndorf, B. J. (2016). Climate smart agriculture and sustainable intensification: assessment and priority setting for Rwanda.
56. Lovell, S. T., & Johnston, D. M. (2009). Designing landscapes for performance based on emerging principles in landscape ecology. *Ecology and society*, 14(1).
57. Ford, J. D., King, N., Galappaththi, E. K., Pearce, T., McDowell, G., & Harper, S. L. (2020). The resilience of indigenous peoples to environmental change. *One Earth*, 2(6), 532-543.
58. Pueyo, A. (2013). Enabling frameworks for low-carbon technology transfer to small emerging economies: Analysis of ten case studies in Chile. *Energy Policy*, 53, 370-380.
59. Permatasari, A., Dhewanto, W., & Dellyana, D. (2021). A proposed model of value co-creation through multi-stakeholder collaboration in domestic product development. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 22(2), 414-425.