Review Article

Climate Change Impacts on Agricultural Systems Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies- A review

Abstract

Climate change poses a substantial threat to global agricultural systems, impacting crop yields, livestock productivity, and resource availability through rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and increased frequency of extreme weather events. This review synthesizes current understanding of climate change impacts on agriculture and explores strategies for mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions while enhancing system resilience through adaptation. Mitigation approaches, such as conservation agriculture, agroforestry, and improved nutrient and livestock management, show significant potential to reduce emissions and increase carbon sequestration. However, economic, technological, and institutional barriers—such as high implementation costs, limited access to finance, and inadequate policy support—restrict their adoption. Adaptation strategies, including crop diversification, advanced water management, and climate-resilient technologies, are critical for sustaining agricultural productivity in variable climates. Synergies between mitigation and adaptation, such as integrating trees into croplands, can provide co-benefits, but trade-offs arise when resource competition occurs or land is diverted from food production to carbon sequestration. The review highlights the need for interdisciplinary research, robust data infrastructure, and tailored policies to bridge knowledge and resource gaps, particularly in vulnerable regions. Successful implementation requires coordinated efforts across stakeholders, supported by enabling policy frameworks that incentivize sustainable practices and align with global climate goals. Future research should prioritize understanding the long-term impacts of integrated strategies, enhancing monitoring systems, and fostering inclusive approaches that consider local contexts and socio-economic conditions. Addressing these challenges holistically will be crucial to building resilient agricultural systems capable of maintaining food security and supporting livelihoods in the face of accelerating climate change.

Keywords: *Climate Change, Mitigation, Adaptation, Agroforestry, Carbon Sequestration*

I. Introduction

A. Overview of Climate Change and Its Significance

Climate change is a global phenomenon that refers to long-term changes in temperature, precipitation patterns, and an increase in the frequency of extreme weather events, primarily driven by human activities, such as fossil fuel combustion, deforestation, and industrial processes. It has been established that anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have been increasing at an unprecedented rate since the mid-20th century, leading to a rise in global temperatures by approximately 1.1°C above pre-industrial levels [1]. This warming trend is accompanied by shifts in weather patterns, more intense heatwaves, erratic rainfall, and alterations in hydrological cycles. Such changes pose significant risks to various natural and human systems, including ecosystems, water resources, and human health.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

One of the major consequences of climate change is its impact on the agricultural sector, a critical component of global food security and economic stability. Agriculture is highly sensitive to climatic variables such as temperature, precipitation, and CO_2 levels. As the climate continues to warm, the risks to crop and livestock production are expected to increase, leading to severe implications for food supply chains and livelihoods, particularly in developing countries [2]. Furthermore, climate change contributes to the degradation of natural resources, exacerbating issues such as soil erosion, water scarcity, and biodiversity loss. Given these widespread impacts, climate change is not only an environmental issue but also a socio-economic and political challenge that requires comprehensive action across multiple sectors.

B. Importance of Studying Impacts on Agricultural Systems

Agriculture is both a contributor to and a victim of climate change, accounting for approximately 19-29% of global GHG emissions, mainly from activities such as enteric fermentation, manure management, and the use of synthetic fertilizers. As climate change progresses, its effects on agriculture are projected to be multifaceted, influencing crop yields, livestock health, soil fertility, and water availability [3]. For instance, rising temperatures can shorten the growing period for major crops like wheat and maize, while increased CO_2 levels may have variable effects on crop quality and nutritional content.

Understanding the complex relationship between climate change and agriculture is crucial because of agriculture's role in sustaining human populations and economies, especially in rural areas where the majority of the population depends directly on farming for their livelihoods. The agricultural sector not only provides food and raw materials but also employs more than 1 billion people globally, making it a key driver of socio-economic development. However, climate change threatens to undermine these benefits by reducing agricultural productivity, increasing food prices, and potentially leading to food insecurity [4]. Moreover, smallholder farmers, particularly in low-income regions, are disproportionately affected due to limited adaptive capacity, lack of resources, and higher dependency on rain-fed agriculture.

Therefore, studying the impacts of climate change on agricultural systems is vital for developing effective adaptation and mitigation strategies. This research can inform policy decisions, guide investment in agricultural innovations, and ensure that adaptation efforts are equitable and inclusive. Additionally, understanding these impacts is essential for achieving global development goals, such as the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) and SDG 13 (Climate Action).

C. Scope and Objectives of the Review

The primary objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive assessment of the current understanding of climate change impacts on agricultural systems, with a focus on both mitigation and adaptation strategies. It aims to synthesize existing literature on how climate change affects different components of agriculture, including crop production, livestock systems, and resource availability, and to explore the socio-economic consequences of these impacts [5]. Additionally, the review addresses key strategies that can be implemented to reduce GHG emissions from the agricultural sector and enhance its resilience to changing climatic conditions.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Specifically, the review will cover the following areas: (1) direct and indirect effects of climate change on agricultural productivity and sustainability, (2) mitigation strategies aimed at reducing emissions and enhancing carbon sequestration in agricultural systems, and (3) adaptation strategies that can be adopted at both farm and policy levels to build resilience against future climate uncertainties. The scope includes an evaluation of technological, institutional, and policy interventions, with a particular focus on strategies that have demonstrated success in various regions and farming systems [6].

By integrating insights from interdisciplinary research, this review seeks to identify gaps in current knowledge and highlight future research priorities to support sustainable agricultural development in the context of a changing climate. Ultimately, the review aims to provide a solid foundation for stakeholders, including policymakers, researchers, and farmers, to develop integrated approaches that address both the challenges and opportunities presented by climate change.

II. Impacts of Climate Change on Agricultural Systems

A. Major Climate Change Drivers Affecting Agriculture

The primary climate change drivers that significantly impact agricultural systems include changes in temperature, precipitation patterns, and the increased frequency and intensity of extreme weather events [7]. The global average temperature has already increased by approximately 1.1°C since pre-industrial times, and it is projected to rise further by 1.5°C to 2°C by the end of this century, depending on future GHG emissions scenarios. This warming is linked to the alteration of growing seasons, changes in plant phenology, and shifts in crop suitability across different agroecological zones. For example, crops that were once suitable for cultivation in tropical regions may now face heat stress, which can reduce yields and increase crop failure risks.

Changes in precipitation patterns, both in terms of quantity and distribution, are another critical driver. Many regions are expected to experience either increased drought or more intense rainfall, leading to water scarcity and heightened flood risks, respectively [8]. In arid and semi-arid regions, the increased frequency and severity of droughts can drastically reduce soil moisture, affecting crop establishment and growth. Conversely, excessive rainfall and flooding can damage crops, leach nutrients from the soil, and disrupt planting and harvesting schedules.

Extreme weather events, including heatwaves, storms, and hurricanes, are also becoming more frequent and intense due to climate change. These events can cause immediate and catastrophic damage to agricultural systems, leading to crop loss, livestock mortality, and infrastructure damage [9]. For instance, heatwaves have been linked to significant reductions in wheat yields in Europe, while cyclones and hurricanes have devastated agricultural productivity in coastal regions. These climatic drivers collectively contribute to increased uncertainty and risk in agricultural production, challenging the stability of food systems globally.

B. Direct Impacts on Crop and Livestock Productivity

Climate change directly affects crop productivity through its influence on key physiological processes, such as photosynthesis, respiration, and transpiration. Rising temperatures can lead

UNDER PEER REVIEW

to heat stress, which adversely impacts crop growth and reproductive stages, reducing yields for temperature-sensitive crops like maize, wheat, and rice [10]. For example, studies show that for each degree Celsius increase in global temperature, wheat and maize yields could decline by 6% and 7.4%, respectively. In addition, altered precipitation patterns can disrupt the water supply necessary for crops, leading to moisture stress and reduced biomass accumulation. Moreover, the CO_2 fertilization effect, which enhances photosynthesis and growth in some crops, is often offset by increased temperatures and water limitations, resulting in a net negative impact on productivity in many regions.

For livestock, climate change impacts are mainly related to heat stress, changes in feed and forage availability, and increased susceptibility to diseases [11]. Heat stress reduces feed intake, milk production, and reproductive performance in cattle, while also increasing mortality rates in poultry and swine. Changes in forage quality and availability due to altered precipitation and temperature patterns can lead to nutritional deficiencies and lower weight gain in grazing animals. Additionally, the prevalence of diseases and pests affecting livestock is expected to increase as warmer temperatures create favorable conditions for pathogens and vectors.

C. Indirect Impacts on Soil Health, Water Resources, and Pest Dynamics

Climate change indirectly affects agricultural productivity through its impact on soil health, water resources, and pest dynamics. Soil health is particularly vulnerable to climate change due to increased erosion, nutrient leaching, and changes in soil organic matter content caused by extreme weather events [12]. Higher temperatures and altered precipitation patterns can accelerate the decomposition of soil organic matter, reducing soil fertility and structure, while increased rainfall intensity can lead to greater soil erosion and nutrient loss.

Water resources are similarly impacted, with climate change contributing to both water scarcity and excess water conditions. Reduced water availability due to droughts can limit irrigation potential, forcing farmers to rely on rain-fed agriculture, which is more vulnerable to climate variability. Conversely, increased flood events can destroy irrigation infrastructure, contaminate water supplies, and lead to waterlogging of agricultural fields, which negatively affects root growth and crop yields.

Pest and disease dynamics are also changing in response to climate shifts, as warming temperatures expand the range and lifecycle of many agricultural pests and pathogens [13]. For instance, the geographic range of the fall armyworm, a major pest for maize, has expanded due to rising temperatures, resulting in significant crop damage in regions where it was previously absent. Similarly, increased temperatures and humidity have led to the proliferation of plant pathogens such as rust fungi, posing new challenges for crop protection. These changes in pest and disease dynamics require new management strategies to protect crops and maintain productivity.

D. Regional Differences in Climate Change Impacts

The impacts of climate change on agricultural systems vary significantly across regions, depending on local climate conditions, agricultural practices, and socio-economic factors [14]. In tropical and subtropical regions, higher temperatures and increased frequency of droughts are expected to have severe consequences for staple crops like maize and sorghum, leading to reduced yields and increased food insecurity. For example, Sub-Saharan Africa,

UNDER PEER REVIEW

which relies heavily on rain-fed agriculture, is particularly vulnerable to climate variability, with projections indicating yield losses of up to 50% for some crops by 2050.

In temperate regions, climate change may initially have mixed impacts. Warmer temperatures could extend growing seasons and enable the cultivation of new crops in northern latitudes [15]. However, these benefits are likely to be offset by increased risks of extreme weather events, soil degradation, and water scarcity in the long term. For instance, Europe and North America are expected to face more frequent heatwaves and droughts, which will challenge current agricultural systems and require significant adaptation.

In coastal regions, sea-level rise and increased salinization pose a major threat to agricultural lands. In South and Southeast Asia, for example, rising sea levels are projected to inundate fertile deltas, leading to the loss of arable land and increased salinity in irrigation water [16]. This could severely affect rice production, a staple food for millions, necessitating the development of salt-tolerant crop varieties.

III. Mitigation Strategies for Agriculture

A. Sustainable Agricultural Practices to Reduce Emissions

Sustainable agricultural practices are central to reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and enhancing the resilience of agricultural systems. One of the key practices is conservation tillage, which involves minimal soil disturbance to reduce soil carbon loss and improve water retention. Reduced tillage practices not only help maintain soil structure but also lower emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2) by preserving soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks, thereby mitigating the carbon footprint of agricultural operations [17]. In a meta-analysis, it was found that no-till practices can sequester 0.3 to 0.6 tonnes of CO_2 per hectare per year, depending on soil type and climatic conditions.

Cover cropping, another sustainable practice, involves growing non-cash crops during fallow periods to cover the soil, prevent erosion, and increase SOC. Cover crops, such as legumes and grasses, not only add biomass to the soil but also fix atmospheric nitrogen, reducing the need for synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, which are a major source of nitrous oxide (N_2O), a potent GHG. Crop rotation and diversification also contribute to mitigation by breaking pest cycles, enhancing soil health, and reducing the overall need for chemical inputs, which are associated with high GHG emissions.

Agroforestry systems, which integrate trees into crop and pasture landscapes, offer another sustainable strategy to reduce emissions and increase carbon sequestration [18]. The presence of trees improves soil structure, increases soil organic carbon, and acts as a buffer against climate variability. In a review of agroforestry systems in tropical regions, it was estimated that they can sequester between 2.1 to 4.2 Mg C ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$, making them a viable option for GHG mitigation.

Additionally, organic farming practices, which minimize the use of synthetic inputs and emphasize soil health, have been shown to reduce GHG emissions significantly. A study found that organic systems, on average, sequester 0.2–0.4 Mg C ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ more than conventional systems due to higher levels of soil organic matter and improved soil

UNDER PEER REVIEW

management practices. Such systems, however, require careful management to ensure that lower yields do not lead to land-use changes that could offset GHG benefits [19].

B. Carbon Sequestration Approaches (e.g., Soil Health, Agroforestry)

Carbon sequestration in agricultural systems is a critical component of climate change mitigation strategies, as soils and vegetation have the potential to act as substantial carbon sinks. Enhancing soil health through practices such as cover cropping, reduced tillage, and organic amendments (e.g., compost and manure) can significantly increase soil organic carbon stocks. Improved soil health not only mitigates climate change by sequestering CO_2 but also enhances water retention, nutrient cycling, and overall productivity, providing multiple co-benefits for agricultural sustainability.

Biochar application, the process of adding pyrolyzed biomass to soils, has gained attention as a method to enhance soil carbon sequestration [20]. Biochar is highly resistant to decomposition, meaning that it can store carbon for centuries, reducing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations. Additionally, biochar improves soil fertility by enhancing nutrient retention and providing a habitat for beneficial soil microorganisms. Studies estimate that biochar could mitigate up to 12% of global agricultural GHG emissions if applied widely.

Agroforestry is another effective carbon sequestration strategy, as trees and perennial vegetation sequester carbon both aboveground in biomass and belowground in root systems. The inclusion of woody perennials in agroecosystems can sequester 50-100 Mg C ha^{-1} over 20 years, depending on the species, site conditions, and management practices [21]. In the Sahel region of Africa, for example, farmer-managed natural regeneration (FMNR) of trees has resulted in increased SOC and improved crop yields, demonstrating the multiple benefits of agroforestry practices.

Enhancing soil carbon storage through improved pasture management is another strategy that can be particularly effective in livestock systems. Practices such as rotational grazing, maintaining optimal stocking rates, and avoiding overgrazing can enhance carbon sequestration in grasslands. Grasslands managed under sustainable grazing practices have been shown to sequester up to 0.3 Mg C ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$, offering significant mitigation potential.

C. Improved Livestock and Nutrient Management

Livestock systems are a significant source of agricultural GHG emissions, contributing approximately 14.5% of global anthropogenic GHGs, primarily through methane (CH_4) emissions from enteric fermentation and nitrous oxide emissions from manure management [22]. Strategies to reduce emissions from livestock include improved feed management, breeding for lower methane-emitting animals, and enhanced manure management practices.

Improved feed management is one of the most effective ways to reduce enteric methane emissions. The use of dietary supplements, such as fat, tannins, and nitrate, has been shown to reduce methane production by up to 20-30%. Additionally, feeding livestock higher-quality forages can enhance digestibility and reduce methane yield per unit of feed intake. Breeding programs aimed at selecting animals with lower methane emissions per unit of production are also being developed, offering long-term mitigation potential [23].

Manure management practices can significantly reduce methane and nitrous oxide emissions. Technologies such as anaerobic digesters, which capture methane from manure and convert it

UNDER PEER REVIEW

into biogas, can reduce GHG emissions while generating renewable energy. Composting and manure storage systems that minimize oxygen availability can also reduce nitrous oxide emissions from manure.

Nutrient management is another critical area for GHG mitigation, as inefficient use of nitrogen fertilizers leads to significant N_2O emissions [24]. Precision agriculture techniques, such as variable rate application and soil nutrient mapping, can optimize fertilizer use, reducing excess application and associated emissions. Additionally, the use of nitrification inhibitors and controlled-release fertilizers can reduce N_2O emissions by slowing down the conversion of ammonia to nitrate in the soil.

D. Technological and Policy Innovations for Emission Reduction

Technological and policy innovations play a pivotal role in scaling up mitigation efforts in agriculture. Precision agriculture technologies, such as remote sensing, GPS-guided machinery, and data analytics, enable farmers to optimize input use, monitor crop health, and reduce waste, thereby lowering emissions [25]. For example, real-time soil moisture sensors can help farmers apply the right amount of irrigation water, reducing the energy required for water pumping and minimizing the risk of over-irrigation. Digital technologies also facilitate better nutrient management through site-specific application, reducing nitrogen losses and associated N_2O emissions.

Policy interventions are crucial for incentivizing the adoption of mitigation strategies. Carbon pricing mechanisms, such as carbon taxes and emissions trading systems, can encourage farmers to adopt low-emission practices. For instance, payments for ecosystem services (PES) programs that reward farmers for maintaining soil carbon stocks or planting trees have been successful in promoting sustainable practices [26]. Additionally, government subsidies for conservation practices and the development of carbon credit markets can enhance the economic viability of mitigation strategies.

At the international level, initiatives such as the United Nations' REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation) and the Koronivia Joint Work on Agriculture under the UNFCCC provide frameworks for integrating agriculture into global climate mitigation efforts. These initiatives encourage countries to incorporate sustainable agricultural practices into their climate action plans, fostering greater coordination and resource allocation for mitigation activities.

IV. Adaptation Strategies for Agriculture

A. Farm-Level Strategies (Crop Diversification, Water Management)

Farm-level adaptation strategies are crucial for enhancing the resilience of agricultural systems to climate change. One key approach is **crop diversification**, which involves cultivating multiple crop species or varieties to reduce the risk associated with climate variability [27]. Crop diversification helps buffer against the failure of a single crop type due to extreme weather events or pest outbreaks, thus stabilizing farm income and food supply. For example, intercropping maize with legumes not only improves soil fertility through nitrogen fixation but also reduces the vulnerability of maize yields to heat stress and drought. Furthermore, incorporating drought-tolerant and heat-resistant crop varieties can provide

UNDER PEER REVIEW

additional resilience against climate extremes. Studies show that adopting resilient crop varieties can increase yields by 20-30% under adverse conditions compared to traditional varieties.

Water management is another critical farm-level adaptation strategy, given the increasing frequency of droughts and erratic rainfall patterns associated with climate change [28]. Techniques such as rainwater harvesting, drip irrigation, and soil moisture conservation practices can significantly enhance water-use efficiency. Drip irrigation, for instance, delivers water directly to the root zone, reducing evaporation losses and water wastage, while increasing water-use efficiency by up to 90% compared to traditional flood irrigation. Moreover, rainwater harvesting, through the use of ponds, terraces, and other catchment systems, can provide supplemental irrigation during dry spells, thereby stabilizing crop yields. Mulching and cover cropping are additional practices that conserve soil moisture and reduce surface runoff, contributing to improved water management.

Agroforestry, which integrates trees and shrubs into crop and livestock systems, is a farm-level strategy that not only enhances biodiversity but also provides microclimatic benefits, such as shade and windbreaks, reducing heat and water stress for crops [29]. Trees in agroforestry systems can also act as a buffer against extreme weather events, such as storms and heavy rains, by stabilizing the soil and reducing erosion. Research indicates that agroforestry systems can increase farm productivity by 30-50% in degraded landscapes while simultaneously providing ecosystem services like carbon sequestration and habitat provision.

B. Regional and Landscape-Level Adaptation

Adaptation strategies at the regional and landscape levels are essential for managing climate impacts that extend beyond individual farm boundaries. One effective approach is **integrated watershed management**, which focuses on the sustainable management of water, soil, and other natural resources at the watershed scale. Integrated watershed management involves practices such as afforestation, check dams, and soil and water conservation structures, which can enhance groundwater recharge, reduce soil erosion, and improve water availability for agricultural purposes [30]. In India's semi-arid regions, for example, watershed management projects have improved agricultural productivity and water availability, benefiting over 1.5 million farmers.

Landscape diversification through agroecological practices such as agroforestry, silvopastoral systems, and mixed cropping can create more resilient agricultural systems. By increasing the heterogeneity of the landscape, these systems reduce the vulnerability of agricultural systems to climate-related pests and diseases, while also enhancing biodiversity and ecological stability. For instance, the use of hedgerows and riparian buffers can protect water quality, provide wildlife habitat, and serve as windbreaks, thereby reducing the impacts of extreme weather events.

Another regional-level adaptation strategy is the establishment of **climate-smart villages**, which integrate a variety of practices tailored to local conditions, such as improved crop varieties, weather forecasting, and community-based water management [31]. This approach has been successfully implemented in South Asia and Africa, where it has improved food security and farm resilience for thousands of smallholder farmers. Climate-smart villages also

UNDER PEER REVIEW

promote community-based adaptation, where collective actions such as cooperative water management and shared weather information help build resilience at the community level.

C. Role of Technology and Innovation in Enhancing Resilience

Technological innovations are playing an increasingly vital role in enhancing the resilience of agricultural systems to climate change. **Climate-smart technologies**, such as drought- and flood-tolerant crop varieties, are being developed through genetic engineering and conventional breeding techniques [32]. These varieties are engineered to withstand extreme weather conditions, thereby maintaining productivity under adverse climatic conditions. For example, the development of submergence-tolerant rice (e.g., the "Scuba Rice" variety) has helped protect rice yields in flood-prone areas of South Asia, benefiting over 5 million farmers.

Digital agriculture is another area where technology is enhancing resilience. Technologies such as remote sensing, drones, and geographic information systems (GIS) enable precise monitoring of crop health, soil moisture, and pest outbreaks. These tools provide real-time data that can inform adaptive management decisions, such as adjusting irrigation schedules or applying targeted pest control measures. For instance, the use of remote sensing and GIS for early warning systems has been shown to reduce crop losses by up to 30% by enabling timely responses to pest and disease outbreaks [33].

Information and communication technologies (ICT), such as mobile apps and weather advisory services, also support climate adaptation by providing farmers with localized weather forecasts, market information, and best practice guidelines. In sub-Saharan Africa, mobile-based advisory services have increased the adoption of climate-resilient practices and improved crop yields by providing timely weather information and agronomic advice. Furthermore, **precision agriculture** technologies, which involve the use of sensors and data analytics, allow for site-specific management of inputs, reducing waste and improving resilience to variable climate conditions.

D. Socioeconomic and Policy Interventions

Effective adaptation to climate change in agriculture also requires robust socioeconomic and policy interventions that create an enabling environment for the adoption of resilient practices. **Strengthening extension services** is one such intervention, as extension agents play a crucial role in disseminating information, providing technical assistance, and supporting the implementation of adaptive practices [34]. Expanding extension services can increase farmers' access to knowledge and resources, thereby enhancing their capacity to respond to climate-related risks. In Kenya, for example, agricultural extension services that promote drought-tolerant crop varieties and water management practices have significantly improved farm resilience.

Insurance schemes and financial instruments are also important for managing climate risks. Agricultural insurance can provide a safety net for farmers facing crop losses due to extreme weather events, enabling them to recover and invest in adaptive practices Innovative products such as index-based insurance, which pays out based on weather indices (e.g., rainfall levels), have shown promise in reducing the vulnerability of smallholder farmers to climate variability. For instance, index-based insurance schemes in Ethiopia and India have

UNDER PEER REVIEW

reduced the financial impact of droughts on smallholder farmers, promoting greater investment in productive assets.

At the policy level, **integrating climate adaptation into national agricultural policies** is crucial for mainstreaming adaptation efforts [35]. This includes incorporating climate risk assessments into agricultural planning, promoting adaptive research, and providing incentives for the adoption of resilient practices. Furthermore, international frameworks, such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the Paris Agreement, encourage countries to prioritize climate adaptation in their agricultural sectors. Effective policy interventions must also address the social dimensions of adaptation, ensuring that vulnerable groups, such as women and marginalized communities, are included in adaptation planning and decision-making.

V. Barriers to Implementation

A. Economic and Financial Challenges

Economic and financial barriers are among the most significant obstacles to implementing climate adaptation and mitigation strategies in agriculture. The cost of adopting new technologies and practices can be prohibitively high for many farmers, especially smallholders who operate on thin margins [36]. Studies show that upfront investments for practices such as precision agriculture, improved irrigation systems, or switching to drought-resistant crop varieties can be a major deterrent, as many small-scale farmers lack access to credit and financial resources. For instance, installing a basic drip irrigation system can cost between $500 to $2,000 per hectare, a sum that is unaffordable for many low-income farmers without external support.

Limited access to credit further exacerbates the financial barriers to implementing climate-smart agriculture (CSA) practices. Smallholders in developing countries often face restrictive lending conditions, high interest rates, and limited availability of agricultural loans. This restricts their ability to invest in necessary inputs or technologies that could enhance their resilience to climate variability. Additionally, financial risk associated with uncertain returns from climate-smart investments can discourage both farmers and lenders [37]. For instance, in East Africa, the high costs and uncertain benefits of adopting soil and water conservation measures have been identified as a key reason for the low uptake of these practices.

Another significant economic barrier is the **lack of market incentives** for adopting sustainable practices. While CSA practices can improve resilience and reduce emissions, farmers often do not receive immediate economic benefits, making it difficult to justify the additional effort and investment required. For example, conservation agriculture practices such as cover cropping and reduced tillage require an initial investment of time and resources, but their benefits, such as improved soil health, may not be realized for several years. In the absence of supportive market mechanisms, such as price premiums for sustainably produced goods or carbon credit schemes, farmers may lack sufficient incentives to adopt these practices [38].

Insurance schemes that could potentially reduce financial risks are often underdeveloped or inaccessible to farmers in vulnerable regions. The high costs and administrative complexities

UNDER PEER REVIEW

of implementing index-based insurance, which pays out based on weather indices rather than actual damages, have limited its widespread adoption. Additionally, a lack of financial literacy and awareness about insurance products further reduces participation, even when such options are available [39].

B. Knowledge and Awareness Gaps

Knowledge and awareness gaps present another major barrier to the adoption of climate-resilient agricultural practices. Many farmers, particularly in developing countries, lack access to accurate and timely information on climate change, its potential impacts, and the adaptive strategies available to address these impacts. A study in sub-Saharan Africa found that less than 50% of farmers were aware of climate-smart agricultural practices, and even fewer understood how these practices could be integrated into their farming systems. This gap is partly due to the limited capacity of extension services, which are the primary source of agricultural information for many farmers [40].

The effectiveness of extension services is often hampered by insufficient funding, inadequate training of extension workers, and low farmer-to-extension agent ratios, which limit the reach and impact of extension programs. For instance, in some parts of Africa, the ratio of extension agents to farmers is as high as 1:4,000, making it difficult to provide personalized advice and support. As a result, farmers may rely on traditional knowledge and practices, which may not be sufficient to cope with the new challenges posed by climate change. Moreover, the lack of locally relevant research and context-specific recommendations further limits the applicability of available climate adaptation strategies [41].

Gender disparities in access to information and training also contribute to knowledge gaps. Women, who constitute a significant proportion of the agricultural workforce in many developing regions, often have less access to extension services and training programs compared to men. This disparity can limit their ability to adopt new practices and technologies, thereby reducing the overall effectiveness of adaptation and mitigation strategies at the community level. A study in Kenya found that women farmers were 30% less likely to adopt improved maize varieties due to limited access to extension services, highlighting the need for gender-sensitive approaches in agricultural training and outreach [42].

Additionally, there is a lack of **climate information services** tailored to the needs of small-scale farmers. While seasonal forecasts and early warning systems can support better decision-making, many farmers are unable to access or interpret these services due to language barriers, illiteracy, or a lack of communication infrastructure. In Senegal, for example, despite the availability of seasonal climate forecasts, only 12% of farmers reported using the information in their agricultural planning due to a lack of understanding of how to integrate the forecasts into their decision-making [43].

C. Institutional, Policy, and Technological Constraints

Institutional and policy constraints significantly hinder the adoption of climate-smart agriculture practices. One of the main challenges is the **lack of coherent policies and regulatory frameworks** that support climate-resilient agricultural development. Many countries do not have specific agricultural adaptation plans, and climate considerations are often not integrated into national agricultural strategies.This lack of integration results in

UNDER PEER REVIEW

fragmented and poorly coordinated efforts, limiting the effectiveness of adaptation initiatives. For instance, in many developing countries, agricultural policies still prioritize short-term productivity gains over long-term sustainability, which can conflict with climate adaptation goals [44].

Weak institutional capacity is another major barrier. Many countries, particularly in low-income regions, lack the institutional infrastructure to implement, monitor, and enforce climate-smart agricultural practices. This includes inadequate staffing, lack of technical expertise, and poor interagency coordination, which impede the scaling up of adaptation and mitigation strategies. For example, in the Philippines, the implementation of climate-resilient agricultural programs has been hampered by overlapping responsibilities and poor coordination among government agencies.

Technological constraints also pose a significant barrier to climate-smart agriculture. Many smallholder farmers do not have access to advanced technologies, such as high-yielding crop varieties, precision agriculture tools, or efficient irrigation systems, due to high costs and limited availability [45]. Even when such technologies are available, a lack of technical knowledge and capacity to use them effectively can limit their adoption. For instance, while remote sensing and GIS-based tools have the potential to improve climate risk management, their adoption is limited in many developing countries due to high costs, lack of technical expertise, and poor data infrastructure.

The **absence of supportive policies and incentives** for adopting sustainable practices also constrains implementation. For example, subsidies for water-intensive crops or chemical fertilizers can discourage the adoption of water-efficient or organic farming practices. Similarly, the lack of a clear policy framework for carbon credits and payments for ecosystem services in many countries prevents farmers from receiving financial rewards for adopting practices that sequester carbon or enhance biodiversity.

Finally, **social and cultural factors** can act as institutional barriers to the adoption of climate-smart agriculture [46]. Resistance to change, preference for traditional farming practices, and a lack of trust in new technologies can reduce the willingness of farmers to adopt adaptive strategies. Addressing these barriers requires not only technical solutions but also efforts to engage communities, build trust, and promote the co-design of locally appropriate adaptation strategies.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

VI. Synergies and Trade-offs Between Mitigation and Adaptation

A. Synergistic Strategies Addressing Both Mitigation and Adaptation

Synergistic strategies are those that achieve both mitigation and adaptation goals simultaneously, creating “win-win” scenarios that enhance resilience while reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [47]. One of the most widely recognized synergistic practices is **agroforestry**, which integrates trees and shrubs into agricultural landscapes. Agroforestry sequesters significant amounts of carbon in both above-ground biomass and soils, while also providing shade, reducing wind erosion, and improving microclimatic conditions for crops and livestock. This practice enhances the overall resilience of agricultural systems to extreme weather events and improves biodiversity, soil fertility, and

water regulation. For example, research in the Sahel region of Africa has shown that agroforestry practices, such as the integration of Faidherbia albida, can increase crop yields by up to 30% and sequester up to 2.5 Mg C ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$.

Another example is **conservation agriculture**, which combines no-till farming, crop residue management, and crop rotation [48]. Conservation agriculture improves soil organic carbon (SOC) levels, reduces soil erosion, and enhances soil moisture retention, thereby increasing the system's resilience to drought and extreme weather. It simultaneously reduces CO_2 emissions by maintaining SOC stocks and reducing the energy used in conventional tillage operations. In sub-Saharan Africa, conservation agriculture has been shown to increase maize yields by 40-100% under dry conditions, demonstrating its dual role in mitigating emissions and enhancing resilience.

Improved livestock management is another strategy that offers synergies between adaptation and mitigation. Practices such as rotational grazing, improved pasture management, and feed optimization reduce methane (CH_4) emissions from enteric fermentation and enhance soil carbon storage [49]. At the same time, these practices increase the resilience of grazing systems to climate variability by improving pasture quality and reducing overgrazing, which can exacerbate land degradation. For instance, a study in Australia found that rotational grazing increased soil carbon sequestration by 0.3 Mg C ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$ while also improving forage availability during drought periods.

Additionally, **water management strategies**, such as rainwater harvesting and drip irrigation, can enhance both adaptation and mitigation outcomes. Improved irrigation techniques reduce water use, making agricultural systems more resilient to water scarcity, while also reducing the energy required for water pumping and irrigation. In India, the adoption of drip irrigation has reduced GHG emissions by 60% compared to traditional flood irrigation, while also increasing water-use efficiency by 50% [50].

B. Potential Trade-offs and Resource Competition

While some strategies offer synergies, others present potential trade-offs, particularly when the goals of mitigation and adaptation conflict. For example, **afforestation and reforestation** are widely promoted as carbon sequestration strategies, but they can compete with agricultural land use, leading to reduced food production and increased food prices. In regions where land is scarce, allocating land to tree planting for carbon sequestration can undermine food security and exacerbate poverty, especially for smallholder farmers. A study found that converting agricultural land to forestry in low-income regions can displace food crops, leading to increased food insecurity unless alternative income sources are provided [51].

Bioenergy production presents another significant trade-off. While bioenergy crops can reduce fossil fuel emissions, their cultivation often requires large tracts of arable land and intensive water use, which can impact food production and water availability. The expansion of bioenergy crops such as maize and sugarcane has been linked to deforestation and biodiversity loss in parts of Latin America and Southeast Asia . In addition, bioenergy crops can exacerbate water scarcity in regions where water resources are already limited, creating further challenges for agricultural adaptation.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Intensification of agricultural production to increase productivity and reduce land-use change can lead to increased GHG emissions from fertilizer use, soil degradation, and water pollution [52]. For instance, the widespread use of synthetic fertilizers to boost yields can lead to increased nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions, a potent GHG, undermining the mitigation benefits of increased productivity. Similarly, the adoption of high-yielding crop varieties that require more water and nutrients can lead to resource competition, particularly in water-scarce regions.

Water management strategies can also create trade-offs. For example, while building large-scale irrigation infrastructure can improve resilience to drought, it can also lead to increased energy use, GHG emissions, and water conflicts. Moreover, over-reliance on groundwater for irrigation can lead to aquifer depletion, reducing long-term water availability and undermining the sustainability of agricultural systems [53].

C. Balancing Priorities and Policy Integration

Balancing mitigation and adaptation priorities requires integrated policy frameworks that consider the local context, resource availability, and socio-economic conditions. Effective policy integration involves promoting practices that provide multiple benefits while minimizing trade-offs, such as agroecological approaches that enhance both environmental sustainability and agricultural productivity. For instance, policies that support the adoption of agroforestry through financial incentives, technical assistance, and secure land tenure can enhance both carbon sequestration and farm resilience.

Policies should also prioritize **inclusive and participatory approaches** to ensure that the needs and perspectives of vulnerable groups, such as smallholder farmers, women, and indigenous communities, are considered [54]. This includes providing targeted support for capacity building, access to resources, and climate information services, which are critical for enabling these groups to adopt climate-resilient practices. Additionally, integrating climate adaptation and mitigation goals into national development plans and agricultural strategies can help align investments and policy actions, reducing the potential for conflicting objectives.

One promising approach is the concept of **climate-smart agriculture (CSA)**, which aims to achieve the triple goals of increasing productivity, enhancing resilience, and reducing emissions. By promoting practices that balance these objectives, CSA provides a framework for integrated policy development. For example, Rwanda's national CSA strategy includes a mix of agroforestry, soil conservation, and water management practices that address both adaptation and mitigation goals, while also enhancing food security and rural livelihoods [55].

VII. Future Directions and Research Gaps

A. Emerging Research Areas and Interdisciplinary Needs

Future research on the synergies and trade-offs between climate change mitigation and adaptation in agriculture should focus on understanding the **long-term impacts of integrated strategies** under varying climatic and socio-economic scenarios. There is a need for more research on **multi-functional landscape management**, which considers agricultural productivity, biodiversity conservation, and carbon sequestration simultaneously. This

UNDER PEER REVIEW

includes exploring how landscape-level approaches, such as mosaic landscapes and buffer zones, can be designed to optimize multiple objectives [56].

Interdisciplinary research that integrates **agronomy, ecology, economics, and social sciences** is critical for understanding the complex interactions between mitigation and adaptation strategies. For example, studies that assess the economic viability of combined mitigation-adaptation strategies, such as agroforestry and conservation agriculture, are needed to inform policy and investment decisions. Additionally, research should focus on the role of **indigenous knowledge systems** in enhancing resilience and reducing emissions, as these systems often provide valuable insights into sustainable resource management [57].

B. Continuous Monitoring and Data Infrastructure

Effective monitoring and evaluation systems are essential for tracking the outcomes of mitigation and adaptation strategies in agriculture. There is a need for **robust data infrastructure** that integrates climate, soil, and crop data to support decision-making at multiple scales. Remote sensing, geographic information systems (GIS), and big data analytics can be leveraged to provide real-time information on crop conditions, water availability, and carbon stocks. However, such technologies are often underutilized in low-income regions due to high costs and technical barriers, highlighting the need for capacity building and technology transfer [58].

C. Policy and Practical Recommendations

Policymakers should focus on creating **enabling environments** that support the adoption of integrated climate strategies through financial incentives, technical assistance, and institutional support. This includes developing **payment for ecosystem services (PES)** schemes that reward farmers for practices that provide both mitigation and adaptation benefits, such as agroforestry and soil conservation. Additionally, policies should promote **multi-stakeholder platforms** that facilitate knowledge sharing, collaboration, and the co-design of locally appropriate strategies [59].

VIII. Conclusion

The impacts of climate change on agriculture are profound and multifaceted, necessitating integrated mitigation and adaptation strategies to safeguard food security and rural livelihoods. While synergistic approaches like agroforestry and conservation agriculture offer promising solutions, significant barriers—such as financial constraints, knowledge gaps, and policy misalignments—impede their widespread adoption. Addressing these barriers requires interdisciplinary research, robust data infrastructure, and supportive policy frameworks that promote both resilience and emission reductions. Effective strategies must balance mitigation and adaptation goals, considering local contexts and socio-economic factors. Future efforts should focus on enhancing coordination between stakeholders, scaling up successful practices, and creating incentives for sustainable land management. By prioritizing holistic and inclusive approaches, policymakers and practitioners can build resilient agricultural systems capable of sustaining productivity and reducing climate risks in the face of an uncertain future.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

IX. References

1. Glikson, A. Y. (2023). An Anthropogenic Catastrophe. In *The Trials of Gaia: Milestones in the Evolution of Earth with Reference to the Anthropocene* (pp. 67-81). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
2. Godde, C. M., Mason-D'Croz, D., Mayberry, D. E., Thornton, P. K., & Herrero, M. (2021). Impacts of climate change on the livestock food supply chain; a review of the evidence. *Global food security*, *28*, 100488.
3. Lobell, D. B., & Gourdji, S. M. (2012). The influence of climate change on global crop productivity. *Plant physiology*, *160*(4), 1686-1697.
4. Campbell, B. M., Vermeulen, S. J., Aggarwal, P. K., Corner-Dolloff, C., Girvetz, E., Loboguerrero, A. M., ... & Wollenberg, E. (2016). Reducing risks to food security from climate change. *Global food security*, *11*, 34-43.
5. Escarcha, J. F., Lassa, J. A., & Zander, K. K. (2018). Livestock under climate change: a systematic review of impacts and adaptation. *Climate*, *6*(3), 54.
6. Westermann, O., Förch, W., Thornton, P., Körner, J., Cramer, L., & Campbell, B. (2018). Scaling up agricultural interventions: Case studies of climate-smart agriculture. *Agricultural Systems*, *165*, 283-293.
7. Thornton, P. K., Ericksen, P. J., Herrero, M., & Challinor, A. J. (2014). Climate variability and vulnerability to climate change: a review. *Global change biology*, *20*(11), 3313-3328.
8. Vinke, K., Martin, M. A., Adams, S., Baarsch, F., Bondeau, A., Coumou, D., ... & Svirejeva-Hopkins, A. (2017). Climatic risks and impacts in South Asia: extremes of water scarcity and excess. *Regional Environmental Change*, *17*, 1569-1583.
9. De Haen, H., & Hemrich, G. (2007). The economics of natural disasters: Implications and challenges for food security. *Agricultural economics*, *37*, 31-45.
10. Zhu, T., Fonseca De Lima, C. F., & De Smet, I. (2021). The heat is on: how crop growth, development, and yield respond to high temperature. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *72*(21), 7359-7373.
11. Henry, B. K., Eckard, R. J., & Beauchemin, K. A. (2018). Adaptation of ruminant livestock production systems to climate changes. *Animal*, *12*(s2), s445-s456.
12. Allen, D. E., Singh, B. P., & Dalal, R. C. (2011). Soil health indicators under climate change: a review of current knowledge. *Soil health and climate change*, 25-45.
13. Sutherst, R. W., Constable, F., Finlay, K. J., Harrington, R., Luck, J., & Zalucki, M. P. (2011). Adapting to crop pest and pathogen risks under a changing climate. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *2*(2), 220-237.
14. Olesen, J. E. (2016). Socio-economic impacts—agricultural systems. *North sea region climate change assessment*, 397-407.
15. Peltonen-Sainio, P., Jauhiainen, L., Hakala, K., & Ojanen, H. (2009). Climate change and prolongation of growing season: changes in regional potential for field crop production in Finland.
16. Schneider, P., & Asch, F. (2020). Rice production and food security in Asian Mega deltas—A review on characteristics, vulnerabilities and agricultural adaptation options to cope with climate change. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, *206*(4), 491-503.
17. Abbas, F., Hammad, H. M., Ishaq, W., Farooque, A. A., Bakhat, H. F., Zia, Z., ... & Cerdà, A. (2020). A review of soil carbon dynamics resulting from agricultural practices. *Journal of environmental management*, *268*, 110319.

18. Pandey, D. N. (2002). Carbon sequestration in agroforestry systems. *Climate policy*, *2*(4), 367-377.
19. Defries, R., & Rosenzweig, C. (2010). Toward a whole-landscape approach for sustainable land use in the tropics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(46), 19627-19632.
20. Elkhlifi, Z., Iftikhar, J., Sarraf, M., Ali, B., Saleem, M. H., Ibranshahib, I., ... & Chen, Z. (2023). Potential role of biochar on capturing soil nutrients, carbon sequestration and managing environmental challenges: a review. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 2527.
21. Gross, C. D., Bork, E. W., Carlyle, C. N., & Chang, S. X. (2022). Agroforestry perennials reduce nitrous oxide emissions and their live and dead trees increase ecosystem carbon storage. *Global Change Biology*, *28*(20), 5956-5972.
22. Jayasundara, S., Ranga Niroshan Appuhamy, J. A. D., Kebreab, E., & Wagner-Riddle, C. (2016). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from Canadian dairy farms and mitigation options: An updated review. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, *96*(3), 306-331.
23. Wall, E., Simm, G., & Moran, D. (2010). Developing breeding schemes to assist mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions. *Animal*, *4*(3), 366-376.
24. Hassan, M. U., Aamer, M., Mahmood, A., Awan, M. I., Barbanti, L., Seleiman, M. F., ... & Huang, G. (2022). Management strategies to mitigate N2O emissions in agriculture. *Life*, *12*(3), 439.
25. Nath, S. (2024). A vision of precision agriculture: Balance between agricultural sustainability and environmental stewardship. *Agronomy Journal*, *116*(3), 1126-1143.
26. Garbach, K., Lubell, M., & DeClerck, F. A. (2012). Payment for ecosystem services: the roles of positive incentives and information sharing in stimulating adoption of silvopastoral conservation practices. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, *156*, 27-36.
27. Bradshaw, B., Dolan, H., & Smit, B. (2004). Farm-level adaptation to climatic variability and change: crop diversification in the Canadian prairies. *Climatic change*, *67*(1), 119-141.
28. Alauddin, M., & Sarker, M. A. R. (2014). Climate change and farm-level adaptation decisions and strategies in drought-prone and groundwater-depleted areas of Bangladesh: an empirical investigation. *Ecological Economics*, *106*, 204-213.
29. Dobhal, S., Kumar, R., Bhardwaj, A. K., Chavan, S. B., Uthappa, A. R., Kumar, M., ... & Ramawat, N. (2024). Global assessment of production benefits and risk reduction in agroforestry during extreme weather events under climate change scenarios. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, *7*, 1379741.
30. Abbasi, N. A., Xu, X., Lucas-Borja, M. E., Dang, W., & Liu, B. (2019). The use of check dams in watershed management projects: Examples from around the world. *Science of the total environment*, *676*, 683-691.
31. Aggarwal, P. K., Jarvis, A., Campbell, B. M., Zougmoré, R. B., Khatri-Chhetri, A., Vermeulen, S. J., ... & Tan Yen, B. (2018). The climate-smart village approach: framework of an integrative strategy for scaling up adaptation options in agriculture.
32. Lal, D., Chauhan, C., Joshi, A., Deo, I., & Singh, S. (2024). Smart Breeding for Climate-Resilient Agriculture. In *Smart Breeding* (pp. 155-167). Apple Academic Press.
33. Kingra, P. K., Majumder, D., & Singh, S. P. (2016). Application of remote sensing and GIS in agriculture and natural resource management under changing climatic conditions. *Agricultural Research Journal*, *53*(3).
34. Antwi-Agyei, P., & Stringer, L. C. (2021). Improving the effectiveness of agricultural extension services in supporting farmers to adapt to climate change: Insights from northeastern Ghana. *Climate Risk Management*, *32*, 100304.

35. Klein, R. J. (2010). Mainstreaming climate adaptation into development: A policy dilemma. *Climate governance and development*, *35*.
36. Poulton, C., Dorward, A., & Kydd, J. (2010). The future of small farms: New directions for services, institutions, and intermediation. *World development*, *38*(10), 1413-1428.
37. Mungai, E. M., Ndiritu, S. W., & Da Silva, I. (2021). Unlocking Climate Finance Potential for Climate Adaptation: Case of Climate Smart Agricultural Financing in Sub Saharan Africa. In *African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation* (pp. 2063-2083). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
38. van Asseldonk, M., Girvetz, E., Pamuk, H., Wattel, C., & Ruben, R. (2023). Policy incentives for smallholder adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices. *Frontiers in Political Science*, *5*, 1112311.
39. Willis, L. E. (2008). Against financial-literacy education. *Iowa L. Rev.*, *94*, 197.
40. Glendenning, C. J., Babu, S., & Asenso-Okyere, K. (2010). Review of agricultural extension in India. *Are farmers information needs being met*, *55*.
41. Hansen, J. W., Vaughan, C., Kagabo, D. M., Dinku, T., Carr, E. R., Körner, J., & Zougmoré, R. B. (2019). Climate services can support african farmers' context-specific adaptation needs at scale. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *3*, 21.
42. Kingiri, A., & Nderitu, S. (2014). Assessment of extension and advisory methods and approaches to reach rural women (examples from Kenya). *Nairobi, Kenya: Modernizing Extension and Advisory Services (MEAS). Recuperado el*, *23*.
43. Mase, A. S., & Prokopy, L. S. (2014). Unrealized potential: A review of perceptions and use of weather and climate information in agricultural decision making. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, *6*(1), 47-61.
44. Vermeulen, S. J., Dinesh, D., Howden, S. M., Cramer, L., & Thornton, P. K. (2018). Transformation in practice: a review of empirical cases of transformational adaptation in agriculture under climate change. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *2*, 65.
45. Singh, A. K. (2022). Precision agriculture in india–opportunities and challenges. *Indian Journal of Fertilisers*, *18*(4), 308-331.
46. Mazhar, R., Ghafoor, A., Xuehao, B., & Wei, Z. (2021). Fostering sustainable agriculture: Do institutional factors impact the adoption of multiple climate-smart agricultural practices among new entry organic farmers in Pakistan?. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *283*, 124620.
47. Hasan, M. A., Abubakar, I. R., Rahman, S. M., Aina, Y. A., Chowdhury, M. M. I., & Khondaker, A. N. (2020). The synergy between climate change policies and national development goals: Implications for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *249*, 119369.
48. Rusinamhodzi, L. (2015). Crop rotations and residue management in conservation agriculture. *Conservation agriculture*, 21-37.
49. Machado, J. M., Motta, E. A. M. D., Barbosa, M. R., Weiler, R. L., Mills, A., Ongaratto, F., ... & Silveira, D. C. (2022). Strategies to mitigate the emission of methane in pastures: enteric methane: a review. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, *16*(6), 682-690.
50. Mallareddy, M., Thirumalaikumar, R., Balasubramanian, P., Naseeruddin, R., Nithya, N., Mariadoss, A., ... & Vijayakumar, S. (2023). Maximizing water use efficiency in rice farming: A comprehensive review of innovative irrigation management technologies. *Water*, *15*(10), 1802.
51. Kahane, R., Hodgkin, T., Jaenicke, H., Hoogendoorn, C., Hermann, M., Keatinge, J. D. H., ... & Looney, N. (2013). Agrobiodiversity for food security, health and income. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *33*, 671-693.

52. Zuo, L., Zhang, Z., Carlson, K. M., MacDonald, G. K., Brauman, K. A., Liu, Y., ... & West, P. C. (2018). Progress towards sustainable intensification in China challenged by land-use change. *Nature Sustainability*, *1*(6), 304-313.
53. Panda, D. K., Ambast, S. K., & Shamsudduha, M. (2021). Groundwater depletion in northern India: Impacts of the sub-regional anthropogenic land-use, socio-politics and changing climate. *Hydrological Processes*, *35*(2), e14003.
54. Huyer, S., Simelton, E., Chanana, N., Mulema, A. A., & Marty, E. (2021). Expanding opportunities: A framework for gender and socially-inclusive climate resilient agriculture. *Frontiers in Climate*, *3*, 718240.
55. Vara Prasad, P. V. V., Hijmans, R. J., Pierzynski, G. M., & Middendorf, B. J. (2016). Climate smart agriculture and sustainable intensification: assessment and priority setting for Rwanda.
56. Lovell, S. T., & Johnston, D. M. (2009). Designing landscapes for performance based on emerging principles in landscape ecology. *Ecology and society*, *14*(1).
57. Ford, J. D., King, N., Galappaththi, E. K., Pearce, T., McDowell, G., & Harper, S. L. (2020). The resilience of indigenous peoples to environmental change. *One Earth*, *2*(6), 532-543.
58. Pueyo, A. (2013). Enabling frameworks for low-carbon technology transfer to small emerging economies: Analysis of ten case studies in Chile. *Energy Policy*, *53*, 370-380.
59. Permatasari, A., Dhewanto, W., & Dellyana, D. (2021). A proposed model of value co-creation through multi-stakeholder collaboration in domestic product development. *Business: Theory and Practice*, *22*(2), 414-425.

UNDER PEER REVIEW