

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_111152
Title of the Manuscript:	Enteroparasitism among school children in a coastal community of Chorkor, Accra-Ghana: an urgent need for improved sanitation and public health education.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. This a good research.</p> <p>Yes, it's ok.</p> <p>Yes, it's ok.</p> <p>No. It's mandatory to do a revision of the rules and forms, polices, etc. Office package.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, they are good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Grammar and language must be check and revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Approved. The only remark I have to make is that the article should be revised according to the publication norms, such as: line spacing, font size, justified text, in general just the Office package rules for text.</p> <p>How did you determine the sample size? Support with the reference whether the sample size is adequate.</p> <p>In conclusion, it should be done in the result and discussion. Answering the aim/s of research. Include a call for action or overview of future research possibilities.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thawatchai Toemjai
Department, University & Country	Thailand