

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_126082
Title of the Manuscript:	FEED MONOCALCIUM PHOSPHATE DERIVED FROM WET-PROCESS PHOSPHORIC ACID AND CALCIUM-BASED RAW MATERIALS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Comments on your manuscript as per different sections of the manuscript</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Abstract:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. The abstract must be brief and concise, one paragraph focusing on the theme of the work followed by 5-7 Keywords relevant to your work. Revise it.</li></ol></li><li>2. Introduction and Literature Review:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. The introduction should not be lengthier. It should mention the purpose of the study.</li><li>b. Use the latest references in this section.</li></ol></li><li>3. Research Methodology:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Research Methodology/ Experimental should be precise and clear.</li><li>b. Do not duplicate the same data in Tables and Figures.</li></ol></li><li>4. Results and Discussion:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Try to discuss your findings with the significance of your contribution.</li><li>b. This portion must be more precise and connected with the proposed/ used/reported methodology.</li><li>c. Use better Quality of Figures, if possible</li><li>d. Captions of the Figures and Tables must be rightly placed.</li></ol></li><li>5. Bibliography/References:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. References must be cited in the text as per the journal's template and listed with their DOIs as per the journal's style.</li><li>b. In every Reference Names of all authors, Complete name of Journal, Volume No.(Issue No.), page range and year should be clearly mentioned.</li><li>c. Please refer to Sample Paper.</li><li>d. Latest references must be used. Others: 1. Mention the Conflict of Interest(if any) 2. Mention Contribution of Authors 3. Mention ORCID ids of all Authors(It's Mandatory)</li></ol></li></ol> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Do Grammar Check once thoroughly.</li><li>b. Take Professional's help , if required.</li><li>c. Revise the whole manuscript as per the Journal's Guidelines.</li><li>d. Better, please refer to some latest papers of this journal.</li><li>e. Refer to the Similarity Check Report (attached) of your manuscript.</li></ol> <p>• Similarity should not be more than 10% in any case as per the journal's policy</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vijaykumar More</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kai. Rasika Mahavidyalaya, India</b>