

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126030
Title of the Manuscript:	INSTITUTE CULTURE AND RENEWING POWER OF PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I find this article valuable because it highlights the important connection between institute culture and the renewing power of teachers, which directly impacts their effectiveness in the classroom. I appreciate how the study not only identifies areas for improvement, like fostering accountability and participation, but also offers practical insights for school leaders to enhance teacher development. The focus on improving institutional culture to boost teacher traits such as dignity and optimism makes this research both relevant and actionable for strengthening educational environments.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "INSTITUTE CULTURE AND RENEWING POWER OF PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS" is somewhat repetitive and could be refined for clarity and conciseness. Here are a couple of suggestions: "Institute Culture and Renewing Power Among Public Elementary School Teachers" "The Influence of Institute Culture on the Renewing Power of Public Elementary School Teachers" Both options eliminate redundancy and maintain focus on the key elements of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a general overview of the study, covering the research aim, methodology, key findings, and implications. However, it can be improved for clarity and comprehensiveness. Here are a few suggestions:</p> <p>Additions: Research Objective: While the aim is stated, it could be clearer by specifying why the study of institute culture and renewing power is important. A brief statement about the relevance to teacher performance or school effectiveness would strengthen the introduction. Sample Details: The abstract mentions that 131 teachers were sampled, but it could briefly explain why universal sampling was chosen. Practical Implications: The abstract hints at practical implications for school heads but could elaborate on specific recommendations or actions that might result from the study. Conclusion: A concluding sentence summarizing the overall contribution of the study would provide a better closure.</p> <p>Deletions or Edits: Avoid Redundancy: The phrase "public secondary school teachers in Manay District, Division of Davao Oriental" is repeated twice, which can be condensed. Methodology Details: The statistical tools (mean, Pearson r, regression analysis) can be mentioned more concisely, or if necessary, briefly clarify what each tool contributed to the findings. Clarity: Some terms, such as "narrow-mindedness" and "carefulness," might need more context or explanation in the abstract for readers unfamiliar with their specific meanings in the study. By making these adjustments, the abstract will offer a clearer, more compelling snapshot of the study and its significance.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, as they follow a logical progression, typical of research papers.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific soundness because it follows a well-structured research approach, using a quantitative design that fits the study's goal of understanding the link between institute culture and teachers' renewing power. The use of statistical tools like Pearson r and regression analysis strengthens the validity of the findings. With a solid sample size of 131 teachers, the study provides a good representation of the population, and the carefully tested survey tool ensures that the data collected is reliable. Additionally, the study draws on relevant literature, which helps to reinforce the credibility of its conclusions and makes the research more meaningful.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. _</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript seem to be a mix of relevant and potentially outdated sources, which may impact the overall credibility and contemporary relevance of the research. While the inclusion of studies from recognized authors is beneficial, it is crucial to ensure that the majority of references are recent to reflect current trends and findings in the field of education.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article shows a foundational understanding of scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Nasir
Department, University & Country	School of Educational Studies Universiti Sains Malaysia, Maldives