

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_125892
Title of the Manuscript:	GLOBALIZATION'S INFLUENCE ON MSME's & THEIR ROLE IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: AN INDEPTH ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I appreciate of this manuscript. This manuscript is valuable effective for the scientific community. The methodology and the qualitative data of this manuscript is good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title of this article suitable. No change in the title of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract of the article comprehensive. There is no need addition and deletion in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound and there is no need scientific correctness In this article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references sufficient and recent. But References should be alphabetical order.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language / English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication. References arrange alphabetical order.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Yes the manuscript is satisfactory fit for Publication. I recommended it for publication.  No there is no ethical issues in this manuscript.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Vinod Kumar
Department, University & Country	Vallabh Government College, India