

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_125797
Title of the Manuscript:	Inspiratory chest pain and fever after acute myocardial infarction: Dressler's syndrome demonstrated on cardiac MRI
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by highlighting a relatively rare but important condition—Dressler's syndrome—following myocardial infarction. By detailing a well-documented case and utilizing cardiac MRI as a key diagnostic tool, it reinforces the importance of clinical awareness and timely imaging in managing complications after acute coronary events. Additionally, the case showcases effective treatment outcomes with NSAIDs and colchicine, offering insights into therapeutic approaches. Overall, the manuscript is valuable as it enhances understanding of post-MI complications and promotes further research into diagnostic modalities and management strategies in similar patient populations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. write about the role of CMR in diagnosis of Dressler's syndrome	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this study, authors presented a case of a 72- year-old woman with Dressler's syndrome after 1 month from acute coronary syndrome. A cardiac MRI was performed to diagnose this disease. The patient responded well to treatment with NSAID and colchicine and was discharged home in good general condition. Comments: 1- Please provide a more comprehensive introduction regarding the various imaging modalities that can be utilized to diagnose Dressler's syndrome. This will help contextualize the significance of cardiac MRI in this specific case. 2- Ensure that all figures are labeled with alphabetical letters (e.g., Figure A, Figure B) and include detailed captions that adequately explain the content and relevance of each figure. This will enhance the clarity and understanding of the visual material presented. 3- Could the authors elaborate on whether the perfusion technique contributed to the diagnosis of Dressler's syndrome in this case? Clarifying this detail would strengthen the discussion around the diagnostic process employed. 4- In the discussion section, the authors reference T1 fat-saturated images. It would be beneficial to include these images in the results section for a more thorough presentation of the findings, as they directly support the discussion points made.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. _	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It must be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sadegh Deghani
Department, University & Country	School of Allied Medical Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran