

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126113
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Parents of Children with Mental Illness in Kisii County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Journal Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article will surely contribute to the existing knowledge about perception of parents of children with mental illness. It will pave way to programs that would help counselors, psychologists, other professionals and parents in handling children with behavioral problems and illnesses. Further, it will promote safe welcoming environment which is free from stigma and discrimination. Include other constructs that are part of the objectives of the study. <i>Suggested:</i> Perception about Children with Mental Illness in Kisii County, Kenya: Its Symptoms, Predictors and Contributions	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. But make sure all results are presented.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript attempts to explain each figure and table correctly and comprehensively. Discussions of important points are somewhat visible. Likewise, some supporting/contrasting literatures are evident. However, provide a thorough discussion of the gaps which were addressed in the study. The arrangement of discussion must be based on the objectives of the study. Omit those that are not part of the objectives, like profile, which is merely a description of participants and was not used to further explain the results. Strengthen the discussion of tables/figures showing results for prediction. Tighten the discussion by explaining the implications and interpretations of the results. The researchers may also include statements in the research instrument to support the results. Further, it would be better to	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Not all, use recent literatures (5 years ago to recent).	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate but better to have it checked by English editors.	
Optional/General comments	Make sure to consider the recommendations of the reviewers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Louziela Masana Barliso
Department, University & Country	Cavite State University, Philippines