

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125119
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Jambal Roti Product Development, Case Study at the Original Jambal Roti Kiosk "SR" Pangandaran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Food products handling and packaging technologies is still an important components of increasing the foods quality and shelf life, besides to wholesomeness and preventing the consumers against foodborne health problems and diseases associated. In this regards the manuscript is important to scientific community. However, further reviewing effort is required in order to improve soundness	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but I just suggested the tittle as "Analysis of Roti Product Development, the Case of Jambal Roti Kiosk "SR" Pangandaran" which is to be more descriptive and objectively precise	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, though few suggestions as I did in my comments box of the manuscript. Keywords is as: Food Product quality, Packaging Strategies, Jambal Roti, Pangandaran	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but unnecessary words and statements are structured which needs to be deleted as I just suggested in my comments box of the manuscript, however, it needs deletion of few words as commented in manuscript comment box. Plus recommendation should be there as a researcher work.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The ABSTRACT : is very brief and allied with the major components such as: Tittle, Major Objective, Methods, Major Findings, Possible Summary, Conclusion Inferred, but recommendation. Keywords : Food Product quality, Packaging Strategies, Jambal Roti, Pangandaran INTRODUCTION section needs correction, as I commented in comments box in a manuscript in regards to use linking words to make sentences and information complete and to increase soundness, etc. plus citation also needs to be think over adding references in order to support figures and numerical data Methodology part: very few corrections needs to be done such as: Results and discussion part is well structured, however, Figures presented here are not aligned with respective statements and/or data/information, if this is so display of figures there is unnecessary as I just commented in the manuscript. Recommendation of the researcher must be there help policy makers and the government as well	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references reviewed are not sufficient, most of the information needs to support by scientific findings as I tried to suggest in comment box.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, there must be some correction issues in regards to grammatical, for instance, deletion of unnecessary words or jargon, similarly use of linking words as well as data resulted are supported by scientific findings as I suggested in comment box.	
Optional/General comments	Refer suggestions and comments presented here above and comment box in a manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gizachew Delilo
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia