

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125938
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Value Addition in Jackfruit Bulb (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.) for Food and Nutritional Security of Rural Women
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, Fruits are the most important things to human beings. So, research is needed into these things. Hence, I am very happy to review this article as a reviewer	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Most interested.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is highly appreciated. If possible able to add but no need to deletion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It can possible to divide more subsections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Fruits are supply to human beings lots of vitamins, proteins, calcium etc. But in the present context maximum fruits or vegetables are cultivated by the using medicine which are not used scientifically. So, article is going to focus its importance and benefits for the human beings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Author can add reference more and more.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Basically, English language is done very well but grammatically mistake is found in the article.	
Optional/General comments	Article is acceptable to publish.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bibungsar Swargiary
Department, University & Country	Khoirabari College, Bodoland Universisty, India